

## CHAPTER 7 - HIGH-PERFORMANCE CMOS OPERATIONAL AMPLIFIERS

### Chapter Outline

- 7.1 Buffered Op Amps
- 7.2 High-Speed/Frequency Op Amps
- 7.3 Differential Output Op Amps
- 7.4 Micropower Op Amp
- 7.5 Low-Noise Op Amps
- 7.6 Low Voltage Op Amps
- 7.7 Summary

### Goal

To illustrate the degrees of freedom and choices of different circuit architectures that can enhance the performance of a given op amp.




Fig. 7.0-1

## SECTION 7.1 – BUFFERED OP AMPS

### Objective

The objective of this presentation is:

- 1.) Illustrate the method of lowering the output resistance of simple op amps
- 2.) Show examples

### Outline

- Open-loop MOSFET buffered op amps
- Closed-loop MOSFET buffered op amps
- BJT output op amps
- Summary

## What is a Buffered Op Amp?

A buffered op amp is an op amp with a low value of output resistance,  $R_o$ .

Typically,  $10\Omega \leq R_o \leq 1000\Omega$

## Requirements

Generally the same as for the output amplifier:

- Low output resistance
- Large output signal swing
- Low distortion
- High efficiency

## Types of Buffered Op Amps

- Buffered op amps using MOSFETs
  - With and without negative feedback
- Buffered op amps using BJTs

## Source-Follower, Push-Pull Output Op Amp




Fig. 7.1-1

$$R_{out} = \frac{1}{g_{m21} + g_{m22}} \leq 1000\Omega, A_v(0) = 65\text{dB} (I_{Bias} = 50\mu\text{A}), \text{ and } GB = 60\text{MHz for } C_L = 1\text{pF}$$

Output bias current?

$$\text{M18-M19-M21-M22 loop} \Rightarrow V_{SG18} + V_{GS19} = V_{SG21} + V_{GS22}$$

$$\text{which gives } \sqrt{\frac{2I_{18}}{K_P S_{18}}} + \sqrt{\frac{2I_{19}}{K_N S_{19}}} = \sqrt{\frac{2I_{21}}{K_P S_{21}}} + \sqrt{\frac{2I_{22}}{K_N S_{22}}}$$

## Crossover-Inverter, Buffer Stage Op Amp

Principle: If the buffer has high output resistance and voltage gain (common source), this is okay if when loaded by a small  $R_L$  the gain of this stage is approximately unity.




Fig. 7.1-2

This op amp is capable of delivering 160mW to a 100Ω load while only dissipating 7mW of quiescent power!

## Crossover-Inverter, Buffer Stage Op Amp - Continued

How does the output buffer work?

The two inverters, M1-M3 and M2-M4 are designed to work over different regions of the buffer input voltage,  $v_{in}'$ .

Consider the idealized voltage transfer characteristic of the crossover inverters:




Fig. 7.1-3

Crossover voltage  $\equiv V_C = V_B - V_A \geq 0$

$V_C$  is designed to be small and positive for worst case variations in processing (Maximum value of  $V_C \approx 110\text{mV}$ )

## Crossover-Inverter, Buffer Stage Op Amp - Continued

### Performance Results for the Crossover-Inverter, Buffer Stage CMOS Op Amp

Specification	Performance
Supply Voltage	$\pm 6$ V
Quiescent Power	7 mW
Output Swing (100 $\Omega$ Load)	8.1 V <sub>pp</sub>
Open-Loop Gain (100 $\Omega$ Load)	78.1 dB
Unity Gainbandwidth	260kHz
Voltage Spectral Noise Density at 1kHz	1.7 $\mu$ V/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$
PSRR at 1kHz	55 dB
CMRR at 1kHz	42 dB
Input Offset Voltage (Typical)	10 mV

## Compensation of Op Amps with Output Amplifiers

Compensation of a three-stage amplifier:

This op amp introduces a third pole,  $p'_3$  (what about zeros?)

With no compensation,

$$\frac{V_{out}(s)}{V_{in}(s)} = \frac{-A_{vo}}{\left(\frac{s}{p'_1} - 1\right)\left(\frac{s}{p'_2} - 1\right)\left(\frac{s}{p'_3} - 1\right)}$$





Fig. 7.1-4

Illustration of compensation choices:



Miller compensation applied around both the second and the third stage.


Miller compensation applied around the second stage only.

Fig. 7.1-5

## Low Output Resistance Op Amp

To get low output resistance using MOSFETs, negative feedback must be used.

Ideal implementation:




Comments:

- The output resistance will be equal to  $r_{ds1} || r_{ds2}$  divided by the loop gain
- If the error amplifiers are not perfectly matched, the bias current in M1 and M2 is not defined

## Low Output Resistance Op Amp - Continued

Offset correction circuitry:



The feedback circuitry of the two error amplifiers tries to insure that the voltages in the loop sum to zero. Without the M9-M12 feedback circuit, there is no way to adjust the output for any error in the loop. The circuit works as follows:

When  $V_{OS}$  is positive, M6 tries to turn off and so does M6A.  $I_{M9}$  reduces thus reducing  $I_{M12}$ . A reduction in  $I_{M12}$  reduces  $I_{M8A}$  thus decreasing  $V_{GS8A}$ .  $V_{GS8A}$  ideally decreases by an amount equal to  $V_{OS}$ . A similar result holds for negative offsets and offsets in EA2.

## Low Output Resistance Op Amp - Continued

Error amplifiers:




Fig. 7.1-7

## Low Output Resistance Op Amp - Complete Schematic





Fig. 7.1-8

Compensation:

Uses nulling Miller compensation.

Short circuit protection:

- MP3-MN3-MN4-MP4-MP5
- MN3A-MP3A-MP4A-MN4A-MN5A
- (max. output  $\pm 60\text{mA}$ )



## Low Output Resistance Op Amp - Continued

Table 7.1-2 Performance Characteristics of the Low Output Resistance Op Amp:

Specification	Simulated Results	Measured Results
Power Dissipation	7.0 mW	5.0 mW
Open Loop Voltage Gain	82 dB	83 dB
Unity Gainbandwidth	500kHz	420 kHz
Input Offset Voltage	0.4 mV	1 mV
PSRR <sup>+</sup> (0)/PSRR <sup>-</sup> (0)	85 dB/104 dB	86 dB/106 dB
PSRR <sup>+</sup> (1kHz)/PSRR <sup>-</sup> (1kHz)	81 dB/98 dB	80 dB/98 dB
THD ( $V_{in} = 3.3V_{pp}$ )		
$R_L = 300\Omega$	0.03%	0.13%(1 kHz)
$C_L = 1000pF$	0.08%	0.32%(4 kHz)
THD ( $V_{in} = 4.0V_{pp}$ )		
$R_L = 15K\Omega$	0.05%	0.13%(1 kHz)
$C_L = 200pF$	0.16%	0.20%(4 kHz)
Settling Time (0.1%)	3 $\mu s$	<5 $\mu s$
Slew Rate	0.8 V/ $\mu s$	0.6 V/ $\mu s$
1/f Noise at 1kHz	-	130 nV/ $\sqrt{Hz}$
Broadband Noise	-	49 nV/ $\sqrt{Hz}$

$$R_{out} \approx \frac{r_{ds6} || r_{ds6A}}{\text{Loop Gain}} \approx \frac{50k\Omega}{5000} = 10\Omega$$

## Low-Output Resistance Op Amp - Continued

Component sizes for the low-resistance op amp:

Transistor/Capacitor	$\mu m/\mu m$ or pF	Transistor/Capacitor	$\mu m/\mu m$ or pF
M16	184/9	M8A	481/6
M17	66/12	M13	66/12
M8	184/6	M9	27/6
M1, M2	36/10	M10	6/22
M3, M4	194/6	M11	14/6
M3H, M4H	16/12	M12	140/6
M5	145/12	MP3	8/6
M6	2647/6	MN3	244/6
MRC	48/10	MP4	43/12
$C_C$	11.0	MN4	12/6
M1A, M2A	88/12	MP5	6/6
M3A, M4A	196/6	MN3A	6/6
M3HA, M4HA	10/12	MP3A	337/6
M5A	229/12	MN4A	24/12
M6A	2420/6	MP4A	20/12
$C_F$	10.0	MN5A	6/6

## Simpler Implementation of Negative Feedback to Achieve Low Output Resistance




Fig. 7.1-9

Output Resistance:

$$R_{out} = \frac{R_o}{1+LG}$$

where

$$R_o = \frac{1}{g_{ds6}+g_{ds7}}$$

and

$$|LG| = \frac{g_{m2}}{2g_{m4}} (g_{m6}+g_{m7})R_o$$

Therefore, the output resistance is

$$R_{out} = \frac{1}{(g_{ds6}+g_{ds7}) \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{g_{m2}}{2g_{m4}} \right) (g_{m6}+g_{m7})R_o \right]}$$

### Example 7.1-1 - Low Output Resistance Using the Simple Shunt Negative Feedback Buffer

Find the output resistance of above op amp using the model parameters of Table 3.1-2.

#### Solution

The current flowing in the output transistors, M6 and M7, is 1mA which gives  $R_o$  of

$$R_o = \frac{1}{(\lambda_N+\lambda_P)1\text{mA}} = \frac{1000}{0.09} = 11.11\text{k}\Omega$$

To calculate the loop gain, we find that

$$g_{m2} = \sqrt{2K_N' \cdot 10 \cdot 100\mu\text{A}} = 469\mu\text{S}$$

$$g_{m4} = \sqrt{2K_P' \cdot 1 \cdot 100\mu\text{A}} = 100\mu\text{S}$$

and

$$g_{m6} = \sqrt{2K_P' \cdot 10 \cdot 1000\mu\text{A}} = 1\text{mS}$$

Therefore, the loop gain is

$$|LG| = \frac{469}{100} \cdot 12 \cdot 11.11 = 104.2$$

Solving for the output resistance,  $R_{out}$ , gives

$$R_{out} = \frac{11.11\text{k}\Omega}{1 + 104.2} = 106\Omega \quad (\text{Assumes that } R_L \text{ is large})$$



## BJTs Available in CMOS Technology

Illustration of an NPN substrate BJT available in a p-well CMOS technology:




Fig. 7.1-10

Comments:

- $g_m$  of the BJT is larger than the FET so that the output resistance w/o feedback is lower
- Can use the lateral or substrate BJT but since the collector is on ac ground, the substrate BJT is preferred
- Current is required to drive the BJT

## Two-Stage Op Amp with a Class-A BJT Output Buffer Stage

Purpose of the M8-M9 source follower:

- 1.) Reduce the output resistance (includes whatever is seen from the base to ground divided by  $1+\beta_F$ )
- 2.) Reduces the output load at the drains of M6 and M7




Fig. 7.1-11

Small-signal output resistance :

$$R_{out} \approx \frac{r_{\pi 10} + (1/g_{m9})}{1+\beta_F} = \frac{1}{g_{m10}} + \frac{1}{g_{m9}(1+\beta_F)}$$

$$= 51.6\Omega + 6.7\Omega = 58.3\Omega \text{ where } I_{10}=500\mu\text{A}, I_8=100\mu\text{A}, W_9/L_9=100 \text{ and } \beta_F \text{ is } 100$$

Maximum output voltage:

$$v_{OUT}(\text{max}) = V_{DD} - V_{SD8}(\text{sat}) - v_{BE10} = V_{DD} - \sqrt{\frac{2K_P'}{I_8(W_8/L_8)}} - V_t \ln\left(\frac{I_{c10}}{I_{s10}}\right)$$

Voltage gain:

$$\frac{v_{out}}{v_{in}} \approx \left(\frac{g_{m1}}{g_{ds2}+g_{ds4}}\right) \left(\frac{g_{m6}}{g_{ds6}+g_{ds7}}\right) \left(\frac{g_{m9}}{g_{m9}+g_{mbs9}+g_{ds8}+g_{\pi 10}}\right) \left(\frac{g_{m10}R_L}{1+g_{m10}R_L}\right)$$

Compensation will be more complex because of the additional stages.

### Example 7.1-2 - Designing the Class-A, Buffered Op Amp

Use the parameters of Table 3.1-2 along with the BJT parameters of  $I_s = 10^{-14}\text{A}$  and  $\beta_F = 100$  to design the class-A, buffered op amp to give the following specifications. Assume the channel length is to be  $1\mu\text{m}$ .

$$V_{DD} = 2.5\text{V} \quad V_{SS} = -2.5\text{V} \quad \text{GB} = 5\text{MHz} \quad A_{vd}(0) \geq 5000\text{V/V} \quad \text{Slew rate} \geq 10\text{V}/\mu\text{s}$$

$$R_L = 500\Omega \quad R_{out} \leq 100\Omega \quad C_L = 100\text{pF} \quad \text{ICMR} = -1\text{V to } 2\text{V}$$

#### Solution

Because the specifications above are similar to the two-stage design of Ex. 6.3-1, we can use these results for the first two stages of our design. However, we must convert the results of Ex. 6.3-1 to a PMOS input stage. The results of doing this give  $W_1 = W_2 = 6\mu\text{m}$ ,  $W_3 = W_4 = 7\mu\text{m}$ ,  $W_5 = 11\mu\text{m}$ ,  $W_6 = 43\mu\text{m}$ , and  $W_7 = 34\mu\text{m}$ .

BJT follower:

$$SR = 10\text{V}/\mu\text{s} \text{ and } 100\text{pF} \text{ capacitor give } I_{11} = 1\text{mA}.$$

$$\therefore \text{ If } W_{13} = 44\mu\text{m}, \text{ then } W_{11} = 44\mu\text{m}(1000\mu\text{A}/30\mu\text{A}) = 1467\mu\text{m}.$$

$$I_{11} = 1\text{mA} \Rightarrow 1/g_{m10} = 0.0258\text{V}/1\text{mA} = 25.8\Omega$$

MOS follower:

To source 1mA, the BJT must provide 2mA which requires  $20\mu\text{A}$  from the MOS follower. Therefore, select a bias current of  $100\mu\text{A}$  for M8.

$$\text{If } W_{12} = 44\mu\text{m}, \text{ then } W_8 = 44\mu\text{m}(100\mu\text{A}/30\mu\text{A}) = 146\mu\text{m}.$$

### Example 7.1-2 - Continued

If  $1/g_{m10}$  is  $25.8\Omega$ , then design  $g_{m9}$  as

$$g_{m9} = \frac{1}{(R_{out} - (1/g_{m10}))(1+\beta_F)} = \frac{1}{(100-25.8)(101)} = 133.4\mu\text{S} \quad g_{m9} \text{ and } I_9 \Rightarrow W/L = 0.809$$

Let us select  $W/L = 10$  for M9 in order to make sure that the contribution of M9 to the output resistance is sufficiently small and to increase the gain closer to unity. This gives a transconductance of M9 of  $300\mu\text{S}$ .

To calculate the voltage gain of the MOS follower we need to find  $g_{mbs9}$ .

$$\therefore g_{mbs9} = \frac{g_{m9}\gamma_N}{2\sqrt{2\phi_F + V_{BS9}}} = \frac{300 \cdot 0.4}{2\sqrt{0.7+2}} = 36.5\mu\text{S}$$

where we have assumed that the value of  $V_{SB9}$  is approximately 2V.

$$\therefore A_{MOS} = \frac{300\mu\text{S}}{300\mu\text{S} + 36.5\mu\text{S} + 4\mu\text{S} + 5\mu\text{S}} = 0.8683 \text{ V/V}.$$

The voltage gain of the BJT follower is

$$A_{BJT} = \frac{500}{25.8+500} = 0.951 \text{ V/V}$$

Thus, the gain of the op amp is

$$A_{vd}(0) = (7777)(0.8683)(0.951) = 6422 \text{ V/V}$$

The power dissipation of this amplifier is,  $P_{diss.} = 5\text{V}(1255\mu\text{A}) = 6.27\text{mW}$

## Two-Stage Op Amp with a Class-AB BJT Output Buffer Stage

This amplifier can reduce the quiescent power dissipation.




Fig. 7.1-12

Slew Rate:

$$SR^+ = \frac{I_{OUT}^+}{C_L} = \frac{(1 + \beta_F)I_7}{C_L} \quad \text{and} \quad SR^- = \frac{\beta_9(V_{DD} - 1V + |V_{SS}| - V_{T0})^2}{2C_L}$$

If  $\beta_F = 100$ ,  $C_L = 1000\text{pF}$  and  $I_7 = 95\mu\text{A}$  then  $SR^+ = 8.59\text{V}/\mu\text{s}$ .

Assuming a  $W_9/L_9 = 60$  ( $I_9 = 133\mu\text{A}$ ),  $\pm 2.5\text{V}$  power supplies and  $C_L = 1000\text{pF}$  gives  $SR^- = 35.9\text{V}/\mu\text{s}$ .

(The current is not limited by  $I_7$  as it is for the positive slew rate.)

## Two-Stage Op Amp with a Class-AB BJT Output Buffer Stage

Small-signal characteristics:




Fig. 7.1-13

Nodal equations:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{mI}V_{in} &= (G_I + sC_c)V_1 - sC_cV_2 + 0V_{out} \\ 0 &= (g_{mII} - sC_c)V_1 + (G_{II} + g_\pi + sC_c + sC_\pi)V_2 - (g_\pi + sC_\pi)V_{out} \\ 0 &\cong g_{m9}V_1 - (g_{m13} + sC_\pi)V_2 + (g_{m13} + sC_\pi)V_{out} \quad \text{where } g_\pi > G_3 \end{aligned}$$

The approximate voltage transfer function is:

$$\frac{V_{out}(s)}{V_{in}(s)} \approx A_{v0} \frac{((s/z_1) - 1)((s/z_2) - 1)}{((s/p_1) - 1)((s/p_2) - 1)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{v0} &= \frac{-g_{mI}g_{mII}}{G_I G_{II}} & z_1 &= \frac{1}{\frac{C_c}{g_{mII}} - \frac{C_\pi}{g_{m13}} \left[ 1 + \frac{g_{m9}}{g_{mII}} \right]} & z_2 &= -\frac{g_{m13}}{C_\pi} + \frac{g_{mII}}{C_c} \left[ 1 + \frac{g_{m9}}{g_{mII}} \right] \\ p_1 &= \frac{-G_I G_{II}}{g_{mII} C_c} \left[ 1 + \frac{g_{m9}}{\beta_F g_{mII}} + \frac{C_\pi}{C_c} \left( \frac{G_I G_{II}}{g_{m13} g_{mII}} \right) \right]^{-1} & p_2 &\cong \frac{-g_{m13} g_{mII}}{(g_{mII} + g_{m9}) C_\pi} \end{aligned}$$

## Two-Stage Op Amp with a Class-AB BJT Output Buffer Stage - Continued

Output stage current,  $I_{C8}$ :


$$I_{C8} = I_{D9} = \frac{S_9}{S_6} I_{D6} = \frac{60}{43} 95\mu\text{A} = 133\mu\text{A}$$

Small-signal output resistance:

$$r_{out} = \frac{r_{\pi} + R_{II}}{1 + \beta_F} = \frac{19.668\text{k}\Omega + 116.96\text{k}\Omega}{101} = 1353\Omega$$

if  $I_6 = I_7 = 95\mu\text{A}$ , and  $\beta_F = 100$ .

Loading effect of  $R_L$  on the voltage transfer curve (increasing  $W_9/L_9$  will improve the negative part at the cost of power dissipation):



CMOS Analog Circuit Design

© P.E. Allen - 2004

### Example 7.1-3 - Performance of the Two-Stage, Class AB Output Buffer

Using the transistor currents given above for the output stages (output stage of the two-stage op amp and the buffer stage), find the small-signal output resistance and the maximum output voltage when  $R_L = 50\Omega$ . Use the W/L values of Example 7.1-2 and assume that the NPN BJT has the parameters of  $\beta_F = 100$  and  $I_S = 10\text{fA}$ .

Solution

It was shown on the previous slide that the small-signal output resistance is

$$r_{out} = \frac{r_{\pi} + r_{ds6} || r_{ds7}}{1 + \beta_F} = \frac{19.668\text{k}\Omega + 116.96\text{k}\Omega}{101} = 1353\Omega$$

Obviously, the MOS buffer of Fig. 7.1-11 would decrease this value.

The maximum output voltage is given above is only valid if the load current is small. If this is not the case, then a better approach is to assume that all of the current in M7 becomes base current for Q8. This base current is multiplied by  $1 + \beta_F$  to give the sourcing current. If M9 is off, then all this current flows through the load resistor to give an output voltage of

$$v_{OUT}(\text{max}) \approx (1 + \beta_F) I_7 R_L$$

If the value of  $v_{OUT}(\text{max})$  is close to  $V_{DD}$ , then the source-drain voltage across M7 may be too small to be in saturation causing  $I_7$  to decrease. Using the above equation, we calculate  $v_{OUT}(\text{max})$  as  $(101) \cdot 95\mu\text{A} \cdot 50\Omega$  or  $0.48\text{V}$  which is close to the simulation results shown using the parameters of Table 3.1-2.

## SUMMARY

- A buffered op amp requires an output resistance between  $10\Omega \leq R_o \leq 1000\Omega$
- Output resistance using MOSFETs only can be reduced by,
  - Source follower output ( $1/g_m$ )
  - Negative shunt feedback (frequency is a problem in this approach)
- Use of substrate (or lateral) BJT's can reduce the output resistance because  $g_m$  is larger than the  $g_m$  of a MOSFET
- Adding a buffer stage to lower the output resistance will most likely complicate the compensation of the op amp

## SECTION 7.2 – HIGH SPEED/FREQUENCY OP AMPS

### **Objective**

The objective of this presentation is:

- 1.) Explore op amps having high frequency response and/or high slew rate
- 2.) Give examples

### **Outline**

- Extending the GB of conventional op amps
- Switched op amps
- Current feedback op amps
- Programmable gain amplifiers
- Parallel path op amps
- Summary

## What is the Influence of $GB$ on the Frequency Response?

The op amp is primarily designed to be used with negative feedback. When the product of the op amp gain and feedback gain (loss) is not greater than unity, negative feedback does not work satisfactorily.

Example of a gain of -10 voltage amplifier:




Fig. 7.2-1

What causes the  $GB$ ?

We know that

$$GB = \frac{g_m}{C}$$

where  $g_m$  is the transconductance that converts the input voltage to current and  $C$  is the capacitor that causes the dominant pole.

This relationship assumes that all higher-order poles are greater than  $GB$ .

## What is the Limit of $GB$ ?

The following illustrates what happens when the next higher pole is not greater than  $GB$ :




Fig. 7.2-2

For a two-stage op amp, the poles and zeros are:

- 1.) Dominant pole  $p_1 = \frac{-g_{m1}}{A_v(0)C_c}$
- 2.) Output pole  $p_2 = \frac{-g_{m6}}{C_L}$
- 3.) Mirror pole  $p_3 = \frac{-g_{m3}}{C_{gs3} + C_{gs4}}$
- 4.) Nulling pole  $p_4 = \frac{-1}{R_z C_I}$
- 5.) Nulling zero  $z_1 = \frac{-1}{R_z C_c - (C_c / g_{m6})}$

## A Procedure to Increase the GB of a Two-Stage Op Amp

- 1.) Use the nulling zero to cancel the closest pole beyond the dominant pole.
- 2.) The maximum GB would be equal to the magnitude of the second closest pole beyond the dominant pole.
- 3.) Adjust the dominant pole so that  $2.2GB \approx$  (second closest pole beyond the dominant pole)

Illustration which assumes that  $p_2$  is the next closest pole beyond the dominant pole:




Fig. 7.2-3

### Example 7.2-1 - Increasing the GB of the Op Amp Designed in Ex. 6.3-1

Use the two-stage op amp designed in Example 6.3-1 and apply the above approach to increase the gainbandwidth as much as possible.

#### Solution

- 1.) First find the values of  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$ , and  $p_4$ .

- (a.) From Ex. 6.3-2, we see that

$$p_2 = -94.25 \times 10^6 \text{ rads/sec.}$$

- (b.)  $p_3$  was found in Ex. 6.3-1 as

$$p_3 = -2.81 \times 10^9 \text{ rads/sec.}$$

- (c.) To find  $p_4$ , we must find  $C_I$  which is the output capacitance of the first stage of the op amp.  $C_I$  consists of the following capacitors,

$$C_I = C_{bd2} + C_{bd4} + C_{gs6} + C_{gd2} + C_{gd4}$$

For  $C_{bd2}$  the width is  $3\mu\text{m} \Rightarrow L1+L2+L3 = 3\mu\text{m} \Rightarrow AS/AD=9\mu\text{m}^2$  and  $PS/PD = 12\mu\text{m}$ .

For  $C_{bd4}$  the width is  $15\mu\text{m} \Rightarrow L1+L2+L3 = 3\mu\text{m} \Rightarrow AS/AD=45\mu\text{m}^2$  and  $PS/PD = 36\mu\text{m}$ .

From Table 3.2-1:

$$C_{bd2} = (9\mu\text{m}^2)(770 \times 10^{-6} \text{F/m}^2) + (12\mu\text{m})(380 \times 10^{-12} \text{F/m}) = 6.93 \text{fF} + 4.56 \text{fF} = 11.5 \text{fF}$$

$$C_{bd4} = (45\mu\text{m}^2)(560 \times 10^{-6} \text{F/m}^2) + (36\mu\text{m})(350 \times 10^{-12} \text{F/m}) = 25.2 \text{fF} + 12.6 \text{fF} \approx 37.8 \text{fF}$$




Fig. 7.2-3A

**Example 7.2-1 - Continued**

$C_{gs6}$  is given by Eq. (10b) of Sec. 3.2 and is

$$C_{gs6} = CGDO \cdot W_6 + 0.67(C_{ox}W_6L_6) = (220 \times 10^{-12})(94 \times 10^{-6}) + (0.67)(24.7 \times 10^{-4})(94 \times 10^{-12}) \\ = 20.7 \text{ fF} + 154.8 \text{ fF} = 175.5 \text{ fF}$$

$$C_{gd2} = 220 \times 10^{-12} \times 3 \mu\text{m} = 0.66 \text{ fF} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{gd4} = 220 \times 10^{-12} \times 15 \mu\text{m} = 3.3 \text{ fF}$$

Therefore,  $C_I = 11.5 \text{ fF} + 37.8 \text{ fF} + 175.5 \text{ fF} + 0.66 \text{ fF} + 3.3 \text{ fF} = 228.8 \text{ fF}$ . Although  $C_{bd2}$  and  $C_{bd4}$  will be reduced with a reverse bias, let us use these values to provide a margin. In fact, we probably ought to double the whole capacitance to make sure that other layout parasitics are included. Thus let  $C_I$  be 300 fF.

In Ex. 6.3-2,  $R_z$  was  $4.591 \text{ k}\Omega$  which gives  $p_4 = -0.726 \times 10^9 \text{ rads/sec}$ .

2.) Using the nulling zero,  $z_1$ , to cancel  $p_2$ , gives  $p_4$  as the next smallest pole.

For  $60^\circ$  phase margin  $GB = |p_4|/2.2$  if the next smallest pole is more than  $10GB$ .

$$\therefore GB = 0.726 \times 10^9 / 2.2 = 0.330 \times 10^9 \text{ rads/sec. or } 52.5 \text{ MHz.}$$

This value of  $GB$  is designed from the relationship that  $GB = g_{m1}/C_c$ . Assuming  $g_{m1}$  is constant, then  $C_c = g_{m1}/GB = (94.25 \times 10^{-6}) / (0.330 \times 10^9) = 286 \text{ fF}$ . It might be useful to increase  $g_{m1}$  in order to keep  $C_c$  above the surrounding parasitic capacitors ( $C_{gd6} = 20.7 \text{ fF}$ ). The success of this method assumes that there are no other roots with a magnitude smaller than  $10GB$ .

**Example 7.2-2 - Increasing the GB of the Folded Cascode Op Amp of Ex. 6.5-3**

Use the folded-cascode op amp designed in Example 6.5-3 and apply the above approach to increase the gainbandwidth as much as possible. Assume that the drain/source areas are equal to  $2 \mu\text{m}$  times the width of the transistor and that all voltage dependent capacitors are at zero voltage.

**Solution**

The poles of the folded cascode op amp are:

$$p_A \approx \frac{-1}{R_A C_A} \quad (\text{the pole at the source of M6})$$

$$p_B \approx \frac{-1}{R_B C_B} \quad (\text{the pole at the source of M7})$$

$$p_6 \approx \frac{-1}{(R_2 + 1/g_{m10})C_6} \quad (\text{the pole at the drain of M6})$$

$$p_8 \approx \frac{-g_{m8}}{C_8} \quad (\text{the pole at the source of M8}) \quad p_9 \approx \frac{-g_{m9}}{C_9} \quad (\text{the pole at the source of M9})$$

$$\text{and } p_{10} \approx \frac{-g_{m10}}{C_{10}} \quad (\text{the pole at the gates of M10 and M11})$$




Fig. 6.5-7



**Example 7.2-2 - Continued**

Let us evaluate each of these poles.

1.) For  $p_A$ , the resistance  $R_A$  is approximately equal to  $g_{m6}$  and  $C_A$  is given as

$$C_A = C_{gs6} + C_{bd1} + C_{gd1} + C_{bd4} + C_{bs6} + C_{gd4}$$

From Ex. 6.5-3,  $g_{m6} = 744.6\mu\text{S}$  and capacitors giving  $C_A$  are found using the parameters of Table 3.2-1 as,

$$C_{gs6} = (220 \times 10^{-12} \cdot 80 \times 10^{-6}) + (0.67)(80 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot 24.7 \times 10^{-4}) = 149\text{fF}$$

$$C_{bd1} = (770 \times 10^{-6})(35.9 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 2 \times 10^{-6}) + (380 \times 10^{-12})(2 \cdot 37.9 \times 10^{-6}) = 84\text{fF}$$

$$C_{gd1} = (220 \times 10^{-12} \cdot 35.9 \times 10^{-6}) = 8\text{fF}$$

$$C_{bd4} = C_{bs6} = (560 \times 10^{-6})(80 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 2 \times 10^{-6}) + (350 \times 10^{-12})(2 \cdot 82 \times 10^{-6}) = 147\text{fF}$$

and

$$C_{gd4} = (220 \times 10^{-12})(80 \times 10^{-6}) = 17.6\text{fF}$$

Therefore,

$$C_A = 149\text{fF} + 84\text{fF} + 8\text{fF} + 147\text{fF} + 17.6\text{fF} + 147\text{fF} = 0.553\text{pF}$$

Thus,

$$p_A = \frac{-744.6 \times 10^{-6}}{0.553 \times 10^{-12}} = -1.346 \times 10^9 \text{ rads/sec.}$$

2.) For the pole,  $p_B$ , the capacitance connected to this node is

$$C_B = C_{gd2} + C_{bd2} + C_{gs7} + C_{gd5} + C_{bd5} + C_{bs7}$$

**Example 7.2-2 - Continued**

The various capacitors above are found as

$$C_{gd2} = (220 \times 10^{-12} \cdot 35.9 \times 10^{-6}) = 8\text{fF}$$

$$C_{bd2} = (770 \times 10^{-6})(35.9 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 2 \times 10^{-6}) + (380 \times 10^{-12})(2 \cdot 37.9 \times 10^{-6}) = 84\text{fF}$$

$$C_{gs7} = (220 \times 10^{-12} \cdot 80 \times 10^{-6}) + (0.67)(80 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot 24.7 \times 10^{-4}) = 149\text{fF}$$

$$C_{gd5} = (220 \times 10^{-12})(80 \times 10^{-6}) = 17.6\text{fF}$$

and

$$C_{bd5} = C_{bs7} = (560 \times 10^{-6})(80 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 2 \times 10^{-6}) + (350 \times 10^{-12})(2 \cdot 82 \times 10^{-6}) = 147\text{fF}$$

The value of  $C_B$  is the same as  $C_A$  and  $g_{m6}$  is assumed to be the same as  $g_{m7}$  giving  $p_B = p_A = -1.346 \times 10^9 \text{ rads/sec.}$

3.) For the pole,  $p_6$ , the capacitance connected to this node is

$$C_6 = C_{bd6} + C_{gd6} + C_{gs8} + C_{gs9}$$

The various capacitors above are found as

$$C_{bd6} = (560 \times 10^{-6})(80 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 2 \times 10^{-6}) + (350 \times 10^{-12})(2 \cdot 82 \times 10^{-6}) = 147\text{fF}$$

$$C_{gs8} = (220 \times 10^{-12} \cdot 36.4 \times 10^{-6}) + (0.67)(36.4 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot 24.7 \times 10^{-4}) = 67.9\text{fF}$$

and

$$C_{gs9} = C_{gs8} = 67.9\text{fF} \quad C_{gd6} = C_{gd5} = 17.6\text{fF}$$

Therefore,

$$C_6 = 147\text{fF} + 17.6\text{fF} + 67.9\text{fF} + 67.9\text{fF} = 0.300\text{pF}$$

**Example 7.2-2 - Continued**

From Ex. 6.5-3,  $R_2 = 2k\Omega$  and  $g_{m6} = 744.6 \times 10^{-6}$ . Therefore,  $p_6$ , can be expressed as

$$-p_6 = \frac{1}{(2 \times 10^3 + (10^6/744.6))0.300 \times 10^{-12}} = 0.966 \times 10^9 \text{ rads/sec.}$$

4.) Next, we consider the pole,  $p_8$ . The capacitance connected to this node is

$$C_8 = C_{bd10} + C_{gd10} + C_{gs8} + C_{bs8}$$

These capacitors are given as,

$$C_{bs8} = C_{bd10} = (770 \times 10^{-6})(36.4 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 2 \times 10^{-6}) + (380 \times 10^{-12})(2 \cdot 38.4 \times 10^{-6}) = 85.2 \text{ fF}$$

$$C_{gs8} = (220 \times 10^{-12} \cdot 36.4 \times 10^{-6}) + (0.67)(36.4 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot 24.7 \times 10^{-4}) = 67.9 \text{ fF}$$

and

$$C_{gd10} = (220 \times 10^{-12})(36.4 \times 10^{-6}) = 8 \text{ fF}$$

The capacitance  $C_8$  is equal to

$$C_8 = 67.9 \text{ fF} + 8 \text{ fF} + 85.2 \text{ fF} + 85.2 \text{ fF} = 0.246 \text{ pF}$$

Using the  $g_{m8}$  of Ex. 6.5-3 of  $774.6 \mu\text{S}$ , the pole  $p_8$  is found as,  $-p_8 = 3.149 \times 10^9$  rads/sec.

5.) The capacitance for the pole at  $p_9$  is identical with  $C_8$ . Therefore, since  $g_{m9}$  is also  $774.6 \mu\text{S}$ , the pole  $p_9$  is equal to  $p_8$  and found to be  $-p_9 = 3.149 \times 10^9$  rads/sec.

6.) Finally, the capacitance associated with  $p_{10}$  is given as

$$C_{10} = C_{gs10} + C_{gs11} + C_{bd8}$$

These capacitors are given as

**Example 7.2-2 - Continued**

$$C_{gs10} = C_{gs11} = (220 \times 10^{-12} \cdot 36.4 \times 10^{-6}) + (0.67)(36.4 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot 24.7 \times 10^{-4}) = 67.9 \text{ fF}$$

and

$$C_{bd8} = (770 \times 10^{-6})(36.4 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 2 \times 10^{-6}) + (380 \times 10^{-12})(2 \cdot 38.4 \times 10^{-6}) = 85.2 \text{ fF}$$

Therefore,

$$C_{10} = 67.9 \text{ fF} + 67.9 \text{ fF} + 85.2 \text{ fF} = 0.221 \text{ pF}$$

which gives the pole  $p_{10}$  as  $-744.6 \times 10^{-6} / 0.246 \times 10^{-12} = -3.505 \times 10^9$  rads/sec.

The poles are summarized below:

$$p_A = -1.346 \times 10^9 \text{ rads/sec} \quad p_B = -1.346 \times 10^9 \text{ rads/sec} \quad p_6 = -0.966 \times 10^9 \text{ rads/sec}$$

$$p_8 = -3.149 \times 10^9 \text{ rads/sec} \quad p_9 = -3.149 \times 10^9 \text{ rads/sec} \quad p_{10} = -3.505 \times 10^9 \text{ rads/sec}$$

The smallest of these poles is  $p_6$ . Since  $p_A$  and  $p_B$  are not much larger than  $p_6$ , we will find the new  $GB$  by dividing  $p_6$  by 5 (rather than 2.2) to get  $200 \times 10^6$  rads/sec. Thus the new  $GB$  will be  $200/2\pi$  or 32MHz. The magnitude of the dominant pole is given as

$$p_{\text{dominant}} = \frac{GB}{A_{vd}(0)} = \frac{200 \times 10^6}{7,464} = 26,795 \text{ rads/sec.}$$

The value of load capacitor that will give this pole is

$$C_L = \frac{1}{p_{\text{dominant}} \cdot R_{\text{out}}} = \frac{1}{26,795 \times 10^3 \cdot 19.4 \text{ M}\Omega} \approx 1.9 \text{ pF}$$

Therefore, the new  $GB = 32\text{MHz}$  compared with the old  $GB = 10\text{MHz}$ .

## Conclusion for Increasing the $GB$ of Op Amps

Maximum  $GB$  depends on the input transconductance and the capacitance that causes the dominant pole.

Quantity	MOSFET Op Amp	BJT Op Amp
$g_m$ dependence	$\sqrt{2K' \left(\frac{W}{L}\right) I_D}$	$\frac{I_C}{kT/q} = \frac{I_C}{V_t}$
Maximum $g_m$	$\approx 1 \text{ mA/V}$	$\approx 20 \text{ mA/V}$
$GB$ for 10pF	15 MHz	300 MHz
$GB$ for 1pF	150 MHz	3 GHz

Note that the power dissipation will be large for large  $GB$  because current is needed for large  $g_m$ .

Assumption:

All higher-order roots are above  $GB$ .

The larger  $GB$ , the more difficult this becomes.

Conclusion:

- The best CMOS op amps have a  $GB$  of 10-50MHz
- The best BJT op amps have a  $GB$  of 100-200MHz

## Switched Amplifiers

Switched amplifiers are time varying circuits that yield circuits with smaller parasitic capacitors and therefore higher frequency response. Such circuits are called *dynamically biased*.

- Switched amplifiers require a nonoverlapping clock
- Switched amplifiers only work during a portion of a clock period
- Bias conditions are setup on one clock phase and then maintained by capacitance on the active phase
- Switched amplifiers use switches and capacitors resulting in feedthrough problems
- Simplified circuits on the active phase minimize the parasitics

Typical clock:




Fig. 7.2-3B

## Dynamically Biased Inverting Amplifier




Fig. 7.2-4

During phase 1 the offset and bias of the inverter is sampled and applied to  $C_{OS}$  and  $C_B$ . During phase 2  $C_{OS}$  is connected in series with the input and provides offset canceling plus bias for M1.  $C_B$  provides the bias for M2.

(This circuit illustrates the concept of switched amplifiers but is too simple to illustrate the reduction of bias parasitics.)

## Dynamically Biased, Push-Pull, Cascode Op Amp




Fig.7.2-5


Push-pull, cascode amplifier: M1-M2 and M3-M4

Bias circuitry: M5-M6- $C_2$  and M7-M8- $C_1$


Parasitics can be further reduced by using a double-poly process to eliminate bulk-drain and bulk-source capacitances at the drain of M1-source of M2 and drain of M4-source of M3 (see Fig. 6.5-5).

## Dynamically Biased, Push-Pull, Cascode Op Amp - Continued

Operation:



Equivalent circuit during the  $\phi_1$  clock period



Equivalent circuit during the  $\phi_2$  clock period.

Fig. 7.2-6

## Dynamically Biased, Push-Pull, Cascode Op Amp - Continued

This circuit will operate on both clock phases<sup>†</sup>.




Fig. 7.2-7

Performance (1.5 $\mu$ m CMOS):

- 1.6mW dissipation
- $GB \approx 130\text{MHz}$  ( $C_L=2.2\text{pF}$ )
- Settling time of 10ns ( $C_L=10\text{pF}$ )

This amplifier was used with a 28.6MHz clock to realize a 5th-order switched capacitor filter having a cutoff frequency of 3.5MHz.

<sup>†</sup> S. Masuda, et. al., "CMOS Sampled Differential Push-Pull Cascode Op Amp," *Proc. of 1984 International Symposium on Circuits and Systems*, Montreal, Canada, May 1984, pp. 1211-12-14.

## Current Feedback Op Amps

Why current feedback:

- Higher  $GB$
- Less voltage swing  $\Rightarrow$  more dynamic range

What is a current amplifier?




Fig. 7.2-8A

Requirements:

$$i_o = A_i(i_1 - i_2)$$

$$R_{i1} = R_{i2} = 0\Omega$$

$$R_o = \infty$$

Ideal source and load requirements:

$$R_{source} = \infty$$

$$R_{Load} = 0\Omega$$

## Bandwidth Advantage of a Current Feedback Amplifier

Consider the inverting voltage amplifier shown using a current amplifier with negative current feedback:

The output current,  $i_o$ , of the current amplifier can be written as

$$i_o = A_i(s)(i_1 - i_2) = -A_i(s)(i_{in} + i_o)$$

The closed-loop current gain,  $i_o/i_{in}$ , can be found as

$$\frac{i_o}{i_{in}} = \frac{-A_i(s)}{1 + A_i(s)}$$

However,  $v_{out} = i_o R_2$  and  $v_{in} = i_{in} R_1$ . Solving for the voltage gain,  $v_{out}/v_{in}$  gives

$$\frac{v_{out}}{v_{in}} = \frac{i_o R_2}{i_{in} R_1} = \left(\frac{-R_2}{R_1}\right) \left(\frac{A_i(s)}{1 + A_i(s)}\right)$$

If  $A_i(s) = \frac{A_o}{s/\omega_A + 1}$ , then

$$\frac{v_{out}}{v_{in}} = \left(\frac{-R_2}{R_1}\right) \left(\frac{A_o}{1 + A_o}\right) \left(\frac{\omega_A(1 + A_o)}{s + \omega_A(1 + A_o)}\right) \Rightarrow A_v(0) = \frac{-R_2 A_o}{R_1(1 + A_o)} \quad \text{and} \quad \boxed{\omega_{-3dB} = \omega_A(1 + A_o)}$$




Fig. 7.2-9

## Bandwidth Advantage of a Current Feedback Amplifier - Continued


The unity-gainbandwidth is,

$$GB = |A_v(0)| \omega_{-3dB} = \frac{R_2 A_o}{R_1(1+A_o)} \cdot \omega_A(1+A_o) = \frac{R_2}{R_1} A_o \cdot \omega_A = \frac{R_2}{R_1} GB_i$$

where  $GB_i$  is the unity-gainbandwidth of the current amplifier.

Note that if  $GB_i$  is constant, then increasing  $R_2/R_1$  (the voltage gain) increases  $GB$ .

Illustration:




Note that  $GB_2 > GB_1 > GB_i$

The above illustration assumes that the  $GB$  of the voltage amplifier realizing the voltage buffer is greater than the  $GB$  achieved from the above method.

## A Simple Current Mirror Implementation of a High Frequency Amplifier

Since the gain of the current amplifier does not need to be large, consider a unity-gain current mirror implementation:



An inverting amplifier with a gain of 10 is achieved if  $R_2 = 20R_1$  assuming the gain of the current mirror is unity.

What is the  $GB$  of this amplifier?

$$GB = |A_v(0)| \omega_{-3dB} = \frac{R_2 A_o}{R_1(1+A_o)} \cdot \frac{1}{R_2 C_o} = \frac{A_o}{(1+A_o) R_1 C_o} = \frac{1}{2R_1 C_o}$$

where  $C_o$  is the capacitance seen at the output of the current mirror.

If  $R_1 = 10\text{k}\Omega$  and  $C_o = 250\text{fF}$ , then  $GB = 31.83\text{MHz}$ .

Limitations:

$$R_1 > R_{in} = 1/g_{m1} \quad \text{and} \quad R_2 < r_{ds2} \parallel r_{ds6} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{R_2}{R_1} \ll g_{m1}(r_{ds2} \parallel r_{ds6})$$

## A Wide-Swing, Cascode Current Mirror Implementation of a High Frequency Amplifier

The current mirror shown below increases the value of  $R_2$  by increasing the output resistance of the current mirror.




Fig. 7.2-12

New limitations:

$$R_1 > \frac{1}{g_{m1}} \text{ and } R_2 < g_{m4}r_{ds4}r_{ds2} \parallel g_{m6}r_{ds6}r_{ds8} \Rightarrow \frac{R_2}{R_1} \ll g_{m1}(g_{m4}r_{ds4}r_{ds2} \parallel g_{m6}r_{ds6}r_{ds8})$$

### Example 7.2-3 - Design of a High GB Voltage Amplifier using Current Feedback

Design the wide-swing, cascode voltage amplifier to achieve a gain of -10V/V and a GB of 500MHz which corresponds to a -3dB frequency of 50MHz.

#### Solution

Since we know what the gain is to be, let us begin by assuming that  $C_o$  will be 100fF. Thus to get a GB of 500MHz,  $R_1$  must be 3.2k $\Omega$  and  $R_2 = 32$ k $\Omega$ . Therefore,  $1/g_{m1}$  must be less than 3200 $\Omega$  (say 300 $\Omega$ ). Therefore we can write

$$g_{m1} = \sqrt{2KI'(W/L)} = \frac{1}{300\Omega} \rightarrow 5.56 \times 10^{-6} = K' \cdot I \cdot \frac{W}{L} \rightarrow 0.0505 = I \cdot \frac{W}{L}$$

At this point we have a problem because if  $W/L$  is small to minimize  $C_o$ , the current will be too high. If we select  $W/L = 200\mu\text{m}/1\mu\text{m}$  we will get a current of 0.25mA. However, using this  $W/L$  for M4 and M6 will give a value of  $C_o$  that is greater than 100fF.

Therefore, select  $W/L = 200$  for M1, M3, M5 and M7 and  $W/L = 20\mu\text{m}/1\mu\text{m}$  for M2, M4, M6, and M8 which gives a current in these transistors of 25 $\mu\text{A}$ .

Since  $R_2/R_1$  is multiplied by 1/11 let  $R_2$  be 110 times  $R_1$  or 352k $\Omega$ .

Now select a  $W/L$  for M12 of  $20\mu\text{m}/1\mu\text{m}$  which will now permit us to calculate  $C_o$ . We will assume zero-bias on all voltage dependent capacitors. Furthermore, we will assume the diffusion area as  $2\mu\text{m}$  times the  $W$ .  $C_o$  can be written as

$$C_o = C_{gd4} + C_{bd4} + C_{gd6} + C_{bd6} + C_{gs12}$$



### Example 7.2-3 - Design of a High GB Voltage Amplifier using Current Feedback - Cont'd

The information required to calculate these capacitors is found from Table 3.2-1. The various capacitors are,

$$C_{gd4} = C_{gd6} = CGDO \times 10 \mu\text{m} = (220 \times 10^{-12})(20 \times 10^{-6}) = 4.4 \text{fF}$$

$$C_{bd4} = CJ \times AD_4 + CJSW \times PD_4 = (770 \times 10^{-6})(20 \times 10^{-12}) + (380 \times 10^{-12})(44 \times 10^{-6}) \\ = 15.4 \text{fF} + 16.7 \text{fF} = 32.1 \text{fF}$$

$$C_{bd6} = (560 \times 10^{-6})(20 \times 10^{-12}) + (350 \times 10^{-12})(44 \times 10^{-6}) = 26.6 \text{fF}$$

$$C_{gs12} = (220 \times 10^{-12})(20 \times 10^{-6}) + (0.67)(20 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot 24.7 \times 10^{-4}) = 37.3 \text{fF}$$


Therefore,

$$C_o = 4.4 \text{fF} + 32.1 \text{fF} + 4.4 \text{fF} + 26.6 \text{fF} + 37.3 \text{fF} = 105 \text{fF}$$

Note that if we had not reduced the  $W/L$  of M2, M4, M6, and M8 that  $C_o$  would have easily exceeded 100fF. Since 105fF is close to our original guess of 100fF, let us keep the values of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ . If this value was significantly different, then we would adjust the values of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  so that the  $GB$  is 500MHz. One must also check to make sure that the input pole is greater than 500MHz.

The design is completed by assuming that  $I_{Bias} = 100 \mu\text{A}$  and that the current in M9 through M12 be  $100 \mu\text{A}$ . Thus  $W_{13}/L_{13} = W_{14}/L_{14} = 20 \mu\text{m}/1 \mu\text{m}$  and  $W_9/L_9$  through  $W_{12}/L_{12}$  are  $20 \mu\text{m}/1 \mu\text{m}$ .

### Example 7.2-3 - Continued



Simulation Results:

$$f_{-3\text{dB}} \approx 38 \text{MHz} \quad GB \approx 300 \text{MHz} \quad \text{Closed-loop gain} = 18 \text{dB}$$

(Loss of -2dB is attributed to source follower and  $R_1$ )


Note second pole at about 1GHz. To get these results, it was necessary to bias the input at -1.7VDC using  $\pm 3\text{V}$  power supplies.

If  $R_1$  is decreased to  $1 \text{k}\Omega$  results in:

$$\text{Gain of } 26.4 \text{dB}, f_{-3\text{dB}} = 32 \text{MHz}, \text{ and } GB = 630 \text{MHz}$$

## A 71 MHz Programmable Gain Amplifier using a Current Amplifier

The following circuit has been submitted for fabrication in 0.25 $\mu$ m CMOS:




$R_1$  and the current mirrors are used for gain variation.  $R_2$  is fixed.

Can cascade this amplifier for higher gains

$$BW = BW_i \sqrt{2^{1/n-1}} \quad \text{for } n = 2, BW = 0.64 BW_i$$

## Implementation of a 60dB Gain, 500MHz -3dB Frequency PGA




## Simulation Results

Output voltage swing is 1.26V for a 2.5V power supply.

Voltage gain is 0 to 60dB in 2dB steps (gain error =  $\pm 0.17$ dB)

Maximum  $GB$  is 1.5GHz

Total current: 3.6mA



CMOS Analog Circuit Design

© P.E. Allen - 2004

## A 71 MHz CMOS Programmable Gain Amplifier<sup>†</sup>

Uses 3 ac-coupled stages.

First stage (0-20dB, common gate for matching and NF):




Fig. 7.2-137A

$R_{in} = 330\Omega$  to match source driving requirement

All current sinks are identical for the differential switches.

Dominant pole at 150MHz.

<sup>†</sup> P. Orsatti, F. Piazza, and Q. Huang, "A 71 MHz CMOS IF-Bandpass Strip for GSM, *IEEE JSSC*, vol. 35, No. 1, Jan. 2000, pp. 104-108.

### A 71 MHz PGA – Continued

Second stage (-10dB to 20dB):




Fig. 7.2-137A

Dominant pole is also at 150MHz

For  $V_{DD} = 2.5V$ , at 60dB gain, the total current is 2.6mA

$IIP_3 \approx +1dBm$

### Parallel Path Op Amps

This type of op amp combines a high-gain, low-frequency path with a low-gain, high-frequency path.




Fig. 7.2-14

Comments:

- Op amp will be conditionally stable
- Compensation will be challenging

## Multipath Nested Miller Compensation<sup>†</sup>




Fig. 7.2-15

Comments:

- All Miller capacitances must be around inverting stages
- Ensure that the RHP zeros generated by the Miller compensation are canceled
- Avoid pole-zero doublets which can introduce a slow time constant

<sup>†</sup> R.G.H. Eschauzier and J.H.Huijsing, *Frequency Compensation Techniques for Low-Power Operational Amplifiers*, Kluwer Academic publishers, 1995, Chapter 6.

## Illustration of Hybrid Nested Miller Compensation<sup>†</sup>

(Note that this example is not multipath.)

Compensating Results:

- 1)  $C_{m1}$  pushes  $p_4$  to higher frequencies and  $p_3$  down to lower frequencies
- 2)  $C_{m2}$  pushes  $p_2$  to higher frequencies and  $p_1$  down to lower frequencies
- 3)  $C_{m3}$  pushes  $p_3$  to higher frequencies (feedback path) & pulls  $p_1$  further to lower frequencies




Fig. 7.2-16

Equations:

$$GB \approx g_{m1}/C_{m3} \quad p_2 \approx g_{m2}/C_{m3} \quad p_3 \approx g_{m3}C_{m3} / (C_{m1}C_{m2}) \quad p_4 \approx g_{m4}/C_L$$

Design:

$$GB < p_2, p_3, p_4$$

<sup>†</sup> R.G. H. Eschauzier *et. al.*, "A Programmable 1.5V CMOS Class-AB Operational Amplifier with Hybrid Nested Miller Compensation for 120dB Gain and 6MHz UGT," *IEEE J. of Solid State Circuits*, vol. 29, No. 12, pp. 1497-1504, Dec. 1994.

## Illustration of the Hybrid Nested Miller Compensation Technique




Fig. 7.2-17

### SUMMARY

- Normal op amps limited by  $g_m/C$
- Typical limit for CMOS op amp is  $GB \approx 50\text{MHz}$
- Other approaches to high frequency CMOS op amps:
  - Current amplifiers (Transimpedance amplifiers)
  - Switched amplifier (simplifies the circuit  $\Rightarrow$  reduce capacitances)
  - Parallel path op amps (compensation becomes more complex)
- What does the future hold?
  - Reduction of channel lengths mean:
    - \* Reduced capacitances  $\Rightarrow$  Higher  $GB$ 's
    - \* Higher transconductances (larger values of  $K'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Higher  $GB$ 's
    - \* Increased channel conductance  $\Rightarrow$  Lower gains (more stages required)
    - \* Reduction of power supply  $\Rightarrow$  Increased capacitances

In other words, there should be some improvement in op amp  $GB$ 's but it won't be inversely proportional to the decrease in channel length. I.e. maybe  $GB$ 's  $\approx 100\text{MHz}$  for  $0.2\mu\text{m}$  CMOS.

## SECTION 7.3 – DIFFERENTIAL OUTPUT OP AMPS

### Objective

The objective of this presentation is:

- 1.) Design and analysis of differential output op amps
- 2.) Examine the problem of common mode stabilization

### Outline

- Advantages and disadvantages of fully differential operation
- Six different differential output op amps
- Techniques of stabilizing the common mode output voltage
- Summary

### Why Differential Output Op Amps?

- Cancellation of common mode signals including clock feedthrough
- Increased signal swing




Fig. 7.3-1

- Cancellation of even-order harmonics

Symbol:





Fig. 7.3-1A

## Common Mode Output Voltage Stabilization

If the common mode gain not small, it may cause the common mode output voltage to be poorly defined.

Illustration:



## Two-Stage, Miller, Differential-In, Differential-Out Op Amp

Note that the upper ICMR is  $V_{DD} - V_{SGP} + V_{TN}$




Fig. 7.3-3

Output common mode range (OCMR) =  $V_{DD} + |V_{SS}| - V_{SDP}(\text{sat}) - V_{DSN}(\text{sat})$

The maximum peak-to-peak output voltage  $\leq 2 \cdot \text{OCMR}$

Conversion between differential outputs and single-ended outputs:




Fig. 7.3-4



### Differential-Output, Folded-Cascode, Class-A Op Amp




Fig. 7.3-5

$$OCMR = V_{DD} + |V_{SS}| - 2V_{SDP}(sat) - 2V_{DSN}(sat)$$

### Two-Stage, Miller, Differential-In, Differential-Out Op Amp with Push-Pull Output




Fig. 7.3-6

Comments:

- Able to actively source and sink output current
- Output quiescent current poorly defined

## Two-Stage, Differential Output, Folded-Cascode Op Amp




Fig. 7.3-7A

Note that the followers M11-M13 and M10-M12 are necessary for level translation to the output stage.

## Unfolded Cascode Op Amp with Differential-Outputs




Fig. 7.3-8

## Cross-Coupled Differential Amplifier Stage

One of the problems with some of the previous stages, is that the quiescent output current was not well defined.

The following input stage solves this problem.




Fig. 7.3-9

Operation:

$$\text{Voltage loop } v_{i1} - v_{i2} = -V_{GS1} + v_{GS1} + v_{SG4} - V_{SG4} = V_{SG3} - v_{SG3} - v_{GS2} + V_{GS2}$$

Using the notation for ac, dc, and total variables gives,

$$v_{i2} - v_{i1} = v_{id} = (v_{sg1} + v_{gs4}) = -(v_{sg3} + v_{gs2})$$

If  $M1 = M2 = M3 = M4$ , then half of the differential input is applied across each transistor with the correct polarity.

$$\therefore i_1 = \frac{g_{m1}v_{id}}{2} = \frac{g_{m4}v_{id}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad i_2 = -\frac{g_{m2}v_{id}}{2} = -\frac{g_{m3}v_{id}}{2}$$

## Class AB, Differential Output Op Amp using a Cross-Coupled Differential Input Stage




Fig. 7.3-10

Quiescent output currents are defined by the current in the input cross-coupled differential amplifier.

## Common-Mode Output Voltage Stabilization




Fig. 7.3-11

Operation:

M1 and M2 sense the common-mode output voltage.

If this voltage rises, the currents in M1 and M2 decrease.

This decreased current flowing through  $R_{o3}$  and  $R_{o4}$  cause the common-mode output voltage to decrease with respect to  $V_{SS}$ .

## Two-Stage, Miller, Differential-In, Differential-Out Op Amp with Common-Mode Stabilization




Fig. 7.3-12

Comments:

- Simple
- Unreferenced

## A Referenced Common-Mode Output Voltage Stabilization Scheme




Fig. 7.3-13

Operation:

- 1.) The desired common-mode output voltage,  $V_{ocm}$ , creates  $I_{ocm}$ .
- 2.) The actual common-mode output voltage creates current  $I_5$  which is mirrored to  $I_6$ .
- 3.) If M1 through M4 are matched and the current mirror is ideal, then when  $I_{ocm} = I_6$  the actual common-mode output voltage should be equal to the desired common-mode output voltage.
- 4.) The above steps assume that a correction circuitry exists that changes the common-mode output voltage in the correct manner.

## Common Mode Feedback Circuits

Implementation of common mode feedback circuit:




Fig. 7.3-13A

This scheme can be applied to any differential output amplifier.

Caution:

Be sure to check the stability of common-mode feedback loops, particularly those that are connected to op amps that have a cascode output. The gain of the common-mode feedback loop can easily reach that of a two-stage amplifier.

## Common Mode Feedback Circuits – Continued

The previous circuit suffers when the input common mode voltage is low because the transistors MC2A and MC2B have a poor negative input common mode voltage.

The following circuit alleviates this disadvantage:




Fig. 7.3-15New

## External Common-Mode Output Voltage Stabilization Scheme for Discrete-Time Applications




Fig. 7.3-14

Operation:

- 1.) During the  $\phi_1$  phase, both  $C_{cm}$  are charged to the desired value of  $V_{ocrn}$  and  $CMbias = V_{ocrn}$ .
- 2.) During the  $\phi_2$  phase, the  $C_{cm}$  capacitors are connected between the differential outputs and the  $CMbias$  node. The average value applied to the  $CMbias$  node will be  $V_{ocrn}$ .

## SUMMARY

- Advantages of differential output op amps:
  - 6 dB increase in signal amplitude
  - Cancellation of even harmonics
  - Cancellation of common mode signals including clock feedthrough
- Disadvantages of differential output op amps:
  - Need for common mode output voltage stabilization
  - Compensation of common mode feedback loop
  - Difficult to interface with single-ended circuits
- Most differential output op amps are truly balanced
- For push-pull outputs, the quiescent current should be well defined
- Common mode feedback schemes include,
  - Unreferenced
  - Referenced

## SECTION 7.4 – LOW POWER OP AMPS

### **Objective**

The objective of this presentation is:

- 1.) Examine op amps that have minimum static power
  - Minimize power dissipation
  - Work at low values of power supply
  - Tradeoff speed for less power


### **Outline**

- Weak inversion
- Methods of creating an overdrive
- Examples
- Summary

## Subthreshold Operation

Most micropower op amps use transistors in the subthreshold region.

Subthreshold characteristics:



$$i_D = \frac{W}{L} I_{D0} \exp\left(\frac{q v_{GS}}{n k T}\right) (1 + \lambda v_{DS}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad g_m = \frac{q I_D}{n k T} \quad \text{and} \quad g_{ds} \approx \lambda I_D$$

Operation with channel length =  $L_{min}$  also will normally be in weak inversion.

## Two-Stage, Miller Op Amp Operating in Weak Inversion




Fig.7.4-1

Low frequency response:

$$A_{vo} = g_{m2} g_{m6} \left( \frac{r_{o2} r_{o4}}{r_{o2} + r_{o4}} \right) \left( \frac{r_{o6} r_{o7}}{r_{o6} + r_{o7}} \right) = \frac{1}{n_2 n_6 (kT/q)^2 (\lambda_2 + \lambda_4) (\lambda_6 + \lambda_7)} \quad (\text{No longer } \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{I_D}})$$

GB and SR:

$$GB = \frac{I_{D1}}{(n_1 kT/q) C} \quad \text{and} \quad SR = \frac{I_{D5}}{C} = 2 \frac{I_{D1}}{C} = 2GB \left( n_1 \frac{kT}{q} \right) = 2GB n_1 V_t$$



### Example 7.4-1 Gain and GB Calculations for Subthreshold Op Amp.

Calculate the gain,  $GB$ , and  $SR$  of the op amp shown above. The currents are  $I_{D5} = 200$  nA and  $I_{D7} = 500$  nA. The device lengths are  $1 \mu\text{m}$ . Values for  $n$  are 1.5 and 2.5 for p-channel and n-channel transistors respectively. The compensation capacitor is  $5$  pF. Use Table 3.1-2 as required. Assume that the temperature is  $27^\circ\text{C}$ . If  $V_{DD} = 1.5\text{V}$  and  $V_{SS} = -1.5\text{V}$ , what is the power dissipation of this op amp?

#### Solution

The low-frequency small-signal gain is,

$$A_v = \frac{1}{(1.5)(2.5)(0.026)^2(0.04 + 0.05)(0.04 + 0.05)} = 43,701 \text{ V/V}$$

The gain bandwidth is

$$GB = \frac{100 \times 10^{-9}}{2.5(0.026)(5 \times 10^{-12})} = 307,690 \text{ rps} \approx 49.0 \text{ kHz}$$

The slew rate is

$$SR = (2)(307690)(2.5)(0.026) = 0.04 \text{ V}/\mu\text{s}$$

The power dissipation is,

$$P_{diss} = 3(0.7\mu\text{A}) = 2.1\mu\text{W}$$

### Push-Pull Output Op Amp in Weak Inversion

First stage gain is,

$$A_{vo} = \frac{g_{m2}}{g_{m4}} = \frac{I_{D2}n_4V_t}{I_{D4}n_2V_t} = \frac{I_{D2}n_4}{I_{D4}n_2} \approx 1$$

Total gain is,

$$A_{vo} = \frac{g_{m1}(S_6/S_4)}{(g_{ds6} + g_{ds7})} = \frac{(S_6/S_4)}{(\lambda_6 + \lambda_7)n_1V_t}$$

At room temperature ( $V_t = 0.0259\text{V}$ ) and for typical device lengths, gains of 60dB can be obtained.

The  $GB$  is,

$$GB = \frac{g_{m1}}{C} \left( \frac{S_6}{S_4} \right) = \frac{g_{m1}b}{C}$$




Fig. 7.4-2

### Increasing the Gain of the Previous Op Amp

- 1.) Can reduce the currents in M3 and M4 and introduce gain in the current mirrors.
- 2.) Use a cascode output stage (can't use self-biased cascode, currents are too low).

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_v &= \left( \frac{g_{m1} + g_{m2}}{2} \right) R_{out} \\
 &= \frac{g_{m1}}{\frac{g_{ds6}g_{ds10}}{g_{m10}} + \frac{g_{ds7}g_{ds11}}{g_{m11}}} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{I_5}{2n_n V_t}}{\frac{I_7}{n_n V_t} + \frac{I_7}{n_p V_t}} = \left( \frac{I_5}{2I_7} \right) \left( \frac{1}{n_n V_t^2 (n_n \lambda_n^2 + n_p \lambda_p^2)} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$




Fig. 7.4-3A

Can easily achieve gains greater than 80dB with power dissipation of less than 1μW.

### Increasing the Output Current for Weak Inversion Operation

A significant disadvantage of the weak inversion is that very small currents are available to drive output capacitance so the slew rate becomes very small.

Dynamically biased differential amplifier input stage:




Fig. 7.4-4

Note that the sinking current for M1 and M2 is

$$I_{sink} = I_5 + A(i_2 - i_1) + A(i_1 - i_2) \quad \text{where } (i_2 - i_1) \text{ and } (i_1 - i_2) \text{ are only positive or zero.}$$

If  $v_{i1} > v_{i2}$ , then  $i_2 > i_1$  and the sinking current is increased by  $A(i_2 - i_1)$ .

If  $v_{i2} > v_{i1}$ , then  $i_1 > i_2$  and the sinking current is increased by  $A(i_1 - i_2)$ .

## Dynamically Biased Differential Amplifier - Continued

How much output current is available from this circuit if there is no current gain from the input to output stage?

Assume transistors M18 through M21 are equal to M3 and M4 and that transistors M22 through M27 are all equal.

$$\text{Let } \frac{W_{28}}{L_{28}} = A \left( \frac{W_{26}}{L_{26}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{W_{29}}{L_{29}} = A \left( \frac{W_{27}}{L_{27}} \right)$$

The output current available can be found by assuming that  $v_{in} = v_{i1} - v_{i2} > 0$ .

$$\therefore i_1 + i_2 = I_5 + A(i_2 - i_1)$$

The ratio of  $i_2$  to  $i_1$  can be expressed as

$$\frac{i_2}{i_1} = \exp\left(\frac{v_{in}}{nV_t}\right)$$

Defining the output current as  $i_{OUT} = b(i_2 - i_1)$  and combining the above two equations gives,

$$i_{OUT} = \frac{bI_5 \left[ \exp\left(\frac{v_{in}}{nV_t}\right) - 1 \right]}{(1+A) - (A-1)\exp\left(\frac{v_{in}}{nV_t}\right)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad i_{OUT} = \infty \quad \text{when } A = 2.16 \text{ and } \frac{v_{in}}{nV_t} = 1$$

where  $b$  corresponds to any current gain through current mirrors (M6-M4 and M8-M3).

## Overdrive of the Dynamically Biased Differential Amplifier

The enhanced output current is accomplished by the use of positive feedback (M28-M2-M19-M28).

The loop gain is,

$$LG = \left( \frac{g_{m28}}{g_{m4}} \right) \left( \frac{g_{m19}}{g_{m26}} \right) = A \frac{g_{m19}}{g_{m4}} = A$$

Note that as the output current increases, the transistors leave the weak inversion region and the above analysis is no longer valid.





Fig. 7.4-5

### Increasing the Output Current for Strong Inversion Operation

An interesting technique is to bias the output transistor of a current mirror in the active region and then during large overdrive cause the output transistor to become saturated causing a significant current gain.

Illustration:



### Example 7.4-2 Current Mirror with M2 operating in the Active Region

Assume that M2 has a voltage across the drain-source of  $0.1V_{ds}(\text{sat})$ . Design the  $W_2/L_2$  ratio so that  $I_1 = I_2 = 100\mu\text{A}$  if  $W_1/L_1 = 10$ . Find the value of  $I_2$  if M2 is saturated.

#### Solution

Using the parameters of Table 3.1-2, we find that the saturation voltage of M2 is

$$V_{ds1}(\text{sat}) = \sqrt{\frac{2I_1}{K_N' (W_2/L_2)}} = \sqrt{\frac{200}{110 \cdot 10}} = 0.4264\text{V}$$

Now using the active equation of M2, we set  $I_2 = 100\mu\text{A}$  and solve for  $W_2/L_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 100\mu\text{A} &= K_N' (W_2/L_2) [V_{ds1}(\text{sat}) \cdot V_{ds2} - 0.5V_{ds2}^2] \\ &= 110\mu\text{A}/\text{V}^2 (W_2/L_2) [0.426 \cdot 0.0426 - 0.5 \cdot 0.0426^2] \text{V}^2 = 1.883 \times 10^6 (W_2/L_2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$100 = 1.883(W_2/L_2) \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{W_2}{L_2} = 53.12$$

Now if M2 should become saturated, the value of the output current of the mirror with  $100\mu\text{A}$  input would be  $531\mu\text{A}$  or a boosting of 5.31 times  $I_1$ .

## Implementation of the Current Mirror Boosting Concept




Fig.7.4-7

$k$  = overdrive factor of the current mirror

## A Better Way to Achieve the Current Mirror Boosting

It was found that when the current mirror boosting idea illustrated on the previous slide was used that when the current increased through the cascode device (M16) that  $V_{GS16}$  increased limiting the increase of  $V_{DS12}$ . This can be overcome by the following circuit.




Fig. 7.4-7A

## SUMMARY

- Operation of transistors is generally in weak inversion
- Boosting techniques are needed to get output sourcing and sinking currents that are larger than that available during quiescent operation
- Be careful about using circuits at weak inversion, i.e. the self-biased cascode will cause the resistor to be too large

## **SECTION 7.5 – LOW NOISE OP AMPS**

### **Objective**

The objective of this presentation is:

- 1.) Review the principles of low noise design
- 2.) Show how to reduce the noise of op amps

### **Outline**

- Review of noise analysis
- Low noise op amps
- Low noise op amps using lateral BJTs
- Low noise op amps using doubly correlated sampling
- Summary

## Introduction

Why do we need low noise op amps?

Dynamic range:

Signal-to-noise ratio (*SNR*)

$$= \frac{\text{Maximum RMS Signal}}{\text{Noise}}$$

(*SNDR* includes both noise and distortion)


Consider a 14 bit digital-to-analog converter with a 1V reference with a bandwidth of 1MHz.

$$\text{Maximum RMS signal is } \frac{0.5V}{\sqrt{2}} = 0.3535 \text{ Vrms}$$

A 14 bit D/A converter requires 14x6dB dynamic range or 84 dB or 16,400.


$$\therefore \text{The value of the least significant bit (LSB)} = \frac{0.3535}{16,400} = 21.6\mu\text{Vrms}$$

If the equivalent input noise of the op amp is not less than this value, then the *LSB* cannot be resolved and the D/A converter will be in error. An op amp with an equivalent input-noise spectral density of  $10\text{nV}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  will have an rms noise voltage of approximately  $(10\text{nV}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}})(1000\sqrt{\text{Hz}}) = 10\mu\text{Vrms}$  in a 1MHz bandwidth.



## Transistor Noise Sources (Low-Frequency)


Drain current model:



$$i_n^2 = \left[ \frac{8kTg_m}{3} + \frac{(KF)I_D}{fC_{ox}L^2} \right] \quad \text{or} \quad i_n^2 = \left[ \frac{8kTg_m(1+\eta)}{3} + \frac{(KF)I_D}{fC_{ox}L^2} \right] \text{ if } v_{BS} \neq 0$$

$$\text{Recall that } \eta = \frac{g_{mbs}}{g_m}$$

Gate voltage model assuming common source operation:



$$e_n^2 = \frac{i_n^2}{g_m^2} = \left[ \frac{8kT}{3g_m} + \frac{KF}{2fC_{ox}WLK} \right] \quad \text{or} \quad e_n^2 = \left[ \frac{8kT(1+\eta)}{3g_m} + \frac{KF}{2fC_{ox}WLK} \right] \text{ if } v_{BS} \neq 0$$

## Minimization of Noise in Op Amps

- 1.) Maximize the signal gain as close to the input as possible. (As a consequence, only the input stage will contribute to the noise of the op amp.)
- 2.) To minimize the  $1/f$  noise:
  - a.) Use PMOS input transistors with appropriately selected dc currents and  $W$  and  $L$  values.
  - b.) Use lateral BJTs to eliminate the  $1/f$  noise.
  - c.) Use chopper stabilization to reduce the low-frequency noise.

## Noise Analysis

- 1.) Insert a noise generator for each transistor that contributes to the noise. (Generally ignore the current source transistor of source-coupled pairs.)
- 2.) Find the output noise voltage across an open-circuit or output noise current into a short circuit.
- 3.) Reflect the total output noise back to the input resulting in the equivalent input noise voltage.

## A Low-Noise, Two-Stage, Miller Op Amp




Fig. 7.5-1

The total output-noise voltage spectral density,  $e_{to}^2$ , is as follows where  $g_{m8}(\text{eff}) \approx 1/r_{ds1}$ ,

$$e_{to}^2 = g_{m6}^2 R_{II}^2 [e_{n6}^2 + e_{n7}^2 + R_I^2 (g_{m1}^2 e_{n1}^2 + g_{m2}^2 e_{n2}^2 + g_{m3}^2 e_{n3}^2 + g_{m4}^2 e_{n4}^2 + (e_{n8}^2 / r_{ds1}^2) + (e_{n9}^2 / r_{ds2}^2))]$$

Divide by  $(g_{m1} R_I g_{m6} R_{II})^2$  to get the eq. input-noise voltage spectral density,  $e_{eq}^2$ , as

$$e_{eq}^2 = \frac{e_{to}^2}{(g_{m1} g_{m6} R_I R_{II})^2} = \frac{2e_{n6}^2}{g_{m1}^2 R_I^2} + 2e_{n1}^2 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{g_{m3}}{g_{m1}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{e_{n3}^2}{e_{n1}^2} \right) + \frac{e_{n8}^2}{g_{m1}^2 r_{ds1}^2 e_{n1}^2} \right] \approx 2e_{n1}^2 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{g_{m3}}{g_{m1}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{e_{n3}^2}{e_{n1}^2} \right) \right]$$

where  $e_{n6}^2 = e_{n7}^2$ ,  $e_{n3}^2 = e_{n4}^2$ ,  $e_{n1}^2 = e_{n2}^2$  and  $e_{n8}^2 = e_{n9}^2$  and  $g_{m1} R_I$  is large.



### **1/f Noise of a Two-Stage, Miller Op Amp**

Consider the 1/f noise:

Therefore the noise generators are replaced by,

$$e_{ni}^2 = \frac{B}{fW_iL_i} \quad (\text{V}^2/\text{Hz}) \quad \text{and} \quad i_{ni}^2 = \frac{2BK'I_i}{fL_i^2} \quad (\text{A}^2/\text{Hz})$$

Therefore, the approximate equivalent input-noise voltage spectral density is,

$$e_{eq}^2 = 2e_{n1}^2 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{K_N' B_N}{K_P' B_P} \right) \left( \frac{L_1}{L_3} \right)^2 \right] \quad (\text{V}^2/\text{Hz})$$

Comments;

- Because we have selected PMOS input transistors,  $e_{n1}^2$  has been minimized if we choose  $W_1L_1$  ( $W_2L_2$ ) large.
- Make  $L_1 \ll L_3$  to remove the influence of the second term in the brackets.

### **Thermal Noise of a Two-Stage, Miller Op Amp**

Let us focus next on the thermal noise:

The noise generators are replaced by,

$$e_{ni}^2 \approx \frac{8kT}{3g_m} \quad (\text{V}^2/\text{Hz}) \quad \text{and} \quad i_{ni}^2 \approx \frac{8kTg_m}{3} \quad (\text{A}^2/\text{Hz})$$

where the influence of the bulk has been ignored.

The approximate equivalent input-noise voltage spectral density is,

$$e_{eq}^2 = 2e_{n1}^2 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{g_{m3}}{g_{m1}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{e_{n3}}{e_{n1}} \right)^2 \right] = 2e_{n1}^2 \left[ 1 + \sqrt{\frac{K_N W_3 L_1}{K_P W_1 L_3}} \right] \quad (\text{V}^2/\text{Hz})$$

Comments:

- The choices that reduce the 1/f noise also reduce the thermal noise.

Noise Corner:

Equating the equivalent input-noise voltage spectral density for the 1/f noise and the thermal noise gives the noise corner,  $f_c$ , as

$$f_c = \frac{3g_m B}{8kTWL}$$

### Example 7.5-1 Design of A Two-Stage, Miller Op Amp for Low 1/f Noise

Use the parameters of Table 3.1-2 along with the value of  $KF = 4 \times 10^{-28}$  F·A for NMOS and  $0.5 \times 10^{-28}$  F·A for PMOS and design the previous op amp to minimize the 1/f noise. Calculate the corresponding thermal noise and solve for the noise corner frequency. From this information, estimate the rms noise in a frequency range of 1Hz to 100kHz. What is the dynamic range of this op amp if the maximum signal is a 1V peak-to-peak sinusoid?

#### Solution

1.) The 1/f noise constants,  $B_N$  and  $B_P$  are calculated as follows.

$$B_N = \frac{KF}{2C_{ox}K_N'} = \frac{4 \times 10^{-28} \text{F} \cdot \text{A}}{2 \cdot 24.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{F/m}^2 \cdot 110 \times 10^{-6} \text{A}^2/\text{V}} = 7.36 \times 10^{-22} (\text{V} \cdot \text{m})^2$$

and

$$B_P = \frac{KF}{2C_{ox}K_P'} = \frac{0.5 \times 10^{-28} \text{F} \cdot \text{A}}{2 \cdot 24.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{F/m}^2 \cdot 50 \times 10^{-6} \text{A}^2/\text{V}} = 2.02 \times 10^{-22} (\text{V} \cdot \text{m})^2$$

2.) Now select the geometry of the various transistors that influence the noise performance.

To keep  $e_{n1}^2$  small, let  $W_1 = 100 \mu\text{m}$  and  $L_1 = 1 \mu\text{m}$ . Select  $W_3 = 100 \mu\text{m}$  and  $L_3 = 20 \mu\text{m}$  and let  $W_8$  and  $L_8$  be the same as  $W_1$  and  $L_1$  since they little influence on the noise.

### Example 7.5-1 - Continued

Of course, M1 is matched with M2, M3 with M4, and M8 with M9.

$$\therefore e_{n1}^2 = \frac{B_P}{fW_1L_1} = \frac{2.02 \times 10^{-22}}{f \cdot 100 \mu\text{m} \cdot 1 \mu\text{m}} = \frac{2.02 \times 10^{-12}}{f} (\text{V}^2/\text{Hz})$$

$$e_{eq}^2 = 2 \times \frac{2.02 \times 10^{-12}}{f} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{110 \cdot 7.36}{50 \cdot 2.02} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{20} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{4.04 \times 10^{-12}}{f} \cdot 1.1606 = \frac{4.689 \times 10^{-12}}{f} (\text{V}^2/\text{Hz})$$

Note at 100Hz, the voltage noise in a 1Hz band is  $\approx 4.7 \times 10^{-14} \text{V}^2(\text{rms})$  or  $0.216 \mu\text{V}(\text{rms})$ .

3.) The thermal noise at room temperature is

$$e_{n1}^2 = \frac{8kT}{3g_m} = \frac{8 \cdot 1.38 \times 10^{-23} \cdot 300}{3 \cdot 707 \times 10^{-6}} = 1.562 \times 10^{-17} (\text{V}^2/\text{Hz})$$

which gives

$$e_{eq}^2 = 2 \cdot 1.562 \times 10^{-17} \left[ 1 + \sqrt{\frac{110 \cdot 100 \cdot 1}{50 \cdot 100 \cdot 20}} \right] = 3.124 \times 10^{-17} \cdot 1.33 = 4.164 \times 10^{-17} (\text{V}^2/\text{Hz})$$

4.) The noise corner frequency is found by equating the two expressions for  $e_{eq}^2$  to get

$$f_c = \frac{4.689 \times 10^{-12}}{4.164 \times 10^{-17}} = 112.6 \text{kHz}$$

This noise corner is indicative of the fact that the thermal noise is much less than the 1/f noise.

**Example 7.5-1 - Continued**

5.) To estimate the rms noise in the bandwidth from 1Hz to 100,000Hz, we will ignore the thermal noise and consider only the  $1/f$  noise. Performing the integration gives

$$V_{eq}(\text{rms})^2 = \int_1^{10^5} \frac{4.689 \times 10^{-12}}{f} df = 4.689 \times 10^{-12} [\ln(100,000) - \ln(1)]$$


$$= 0.540 \times 10^{-10} \text{ V}_{\text{rms}}^2 = 7.34 \mu\text{V}_{\text{rms}}$$

The maximum signal in rms is 0.353V. Dividing this by 7.34 $\mu$ V gives 48,044 or 93.6dB which is equivalent to about 15 bits of resolution.


6.) Note that the design of the remainder of the op amp will have little influence on the noise and is not included in this example.

**Lateral BJT**

Since the  $1/f$  noise is associated with current flowing at the surface of the channel, the lateral BJT offers a lower  $1/f$  noise input device because the majority of current flows beneath the surface.



Cross-section of a NPN lateral BJT.




Symbol. Fig. 7.5-3

Comments:


- Base of the BJT is the well
- Two collectors-one horizontal (desired) and one vertical (undesired)
- Collector efficiency is defined as  $\frac{\text{Lateral collector current}}{\text{Total collector current}}$  and is 60-70%
- Reverse biased collector-base acts like a photodetector and is often used for light-sensing purposes

### Field-Aided Lateral BJT

Polysilicon gates are used to ensure that the region beneath the gate does not invert forcing all current flow away from the surface and further eliminating the  $1/f$  noise.



Cross-section of a field-aided NPN lateral BJT.



Symbol. Fig. 7.5-4

### Physical Layout of a Lateral PNP Transistor




Fig. 7.5-7A

Experimental Results for a x40 PNP lateral BJT:

Characteristic	Value
Transistor area	0.006mm <sup>2</sup>
Lateral $\beta$	90
Lateral efficiency	70%
Base resistance	150 $\Omega$
$e_n$ at 5 Hz	2.46nV/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$
$e_n$ at midband	1.92nV/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$
$f_c(e_n)$	3.2Hz
$i_n$ at 5 Hz	3.53pA/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$
$i_n$ at midband	0.61pA/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$
$f_c(i_n)$	162 Hz
$f_T$	85 MHz
Early voltage	16V
1.2 $\mu\text{m}$ CMOS with n-well	

Generally, the above structure is made as small as possible and then paralleled with identical geometries to achieve the desired BJT.

## Low-Noise Op Amp using Lateral BJT's at the Input




Fig. 7.5-6

Experimental noise performance:




Fig. 7.5-7

CMOS Analog Circuit Design

© P.E. Allen - 2004

## Summary of Experimental Performance for the Low-Noise Op Amp

Experimental Performance	Value
Circuit area (1.2μm)	0.211 mm <sup>2</sup>
Supply Voltages	±2.5 V
Quiescent Current	2.1 mA
-3dB frequency (at a gain of 20.8 dB)	11.1 MHz
$e_n$ at 1Hz	23.8 nV/√Hz
$e_n$ (midband)	3.2 nV/√Hz
$f_c(e_n)$	55 Hz
$i_n$ at 1Hz	5.2 pA/√Hz
$i_n$ (midband)	0.73 pA/√Hz
$f_c(i_n)$	50 Hz
Input bias current	1.68 μA
Input offset current	14.0 nA
Input offset voltage	1.0 mV
CMRR(DC)	99.6 dB
PSRR+(DC)	67.6 dB
PSRR-(DC)	73.9 dB
Positive slew rate (60 pF, 10 kΩ load)	39.0 V/μS
Negative slew rate (60 pF, 10 kΩ load)	42.5 V/μS

### Chopper-Stabilized Op Amps - Doubly Correlated Sampling (DCS)

Illustration of the use of chopper stabilization to remove the undesired signal,  $v_u$ , from the desired signal,  $v_{in}$ .






Fig. 7.5-8

### Chopper-Stabilized Amplifier

Chopper-stabilized Amplifier:



Circuit equivalent during  $\phi_1$  phase:



Circuit equivalent during the  $\phi_2$  phase:




Fig. 7.5-10

## Experimental Noise Response of the Chopper-Stabilized Amplifier




Fig. 7.5-11

### Comments:

- The switches in the chopper-stabilized op amp introduce a thermal noise equal to  $kT/C$  where  $k$  is Boltzmann's constant,  $T$  is absolute temperature and  $C$  are capacitors charged by the switches (parasitics in the case of the chopper-stabilized amplifier).
- Requires two-phase, non-overlapping clocks.
- Trade-off between the lowering of  $1/f$  noise and the introduction of the  $kT/C$  noise.

## SUMMARY

- Primary sources of noise for CMOS circuits is thermal and  $1/f$
- Noise analysis:
  - 1.) Insert a noise generator for each transistor that contributes to the noise. (Generally ignore the current source transistor of source-coupled pairs.)
  - 2.) Find the output noise voltage across an open-circuit or output noise current into a short circuit.
  - 3.) Reflect the total output noise back to the input resulting in the equivalent input noise voltage.
- Noise is reduced in op amps by making the input stage gain as large as possible and reducing the noise of this stage as much as possible.
- The input stage noise can be reduced by using lateral BJTs (particularly the  $1/f$  noise)
- Doubly correlated sampling can transfer the noise at low frequencies to the clock frequency (this technique is used to achieve low input offset voltage op amps).

## SECTION 7.6 – LOW VOLTAGE OP AMPS

### Objective

The objective of this presentation is:

- 1.) How to design standard circuit blocks with reduced power supply voltage
- 2.) Introduce new methods of designing low voltage circuits

### Outline

- Low voltage input stages
- Low voltage bias circuits
- Low voltage op amps
- Examples
- Summary

### Introduction

While low voltage op amps can be easily designed in weak inversion, strong inversion leads to higher performance and is the focus of this section.

Semiconductor Industry Associates Roadmap for Power Supplies:




Fig. 7.6-2

Threshold voltages will remain about 0.5 to 0.7V in order to allow the MOSFET to be turned off.



## Implications of Low-Voltage, Strong-Inversion Operation

- Reduced power supply means decreased dynamic range
- Nonlinearity will increase because the transistor is working close to  $V_{DS}(\text{sat})$
- Large values of  $\lambda$  because the transistor is working close to  $V_{DS}(\text{sat})$
- Increased drain-bulk and source-bulk capacitances because they are less reverse biased.
- Large values of currents and  $W/L$  ratios to get high transconductance
- Small values of currents and large values of  $W/L$  will give small  $V_{DS}(\text{sat})$
- Severely reduced input common mode range
- Switches will require charge pumps

## Approach

- Low voltage input stages with reasonable *ICMR*
- Low voltage bias and load circuits
- Low voltage op amps

## Differential Amplifier with Current Source Loads

Minimum power supply ( $ICMR = 0$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} V_{DD}(\text{min}) &= V_{SD3}(\text{sat}) - V_{T1} + V_{GS1} + V_{DS5}(\text{sat}) \\ &= V_{SD3}(\text{sat}) + V_{DS1}(\text{sat}) + V_{DS5}(\text{sat}) \end{aligned}$$

Input common-mode range:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{icm}(\text{upper}) &= V_{DD} - V_{SD3}(\text{sat}) + V_{T1} \\ V_{icm}(\text{lower}) &= V_{DS5}(\text{sat}) + V_{GS1} \end{aligned}$$

Example:

If the threshold magnitudes are 0.7V,  $V_{DD} = 1.5\text{V}$  and the saturation voltages are 0.3V, then

$V_{icm}(\text{upper}) = 1.5 - 0.3 + 0.7 = 1.9\text{V}$     and     $V_{icm}(\text{lower}) = 0.3 + 1.0 = 1.3\text{V}$   
giving an *ICMR* of 0.6V.




Fig. 7.6-3

### Increasing ICMR using Parallel Input Stages

Turn-on voltage for the n-channel input:

$$V_{onn} = V_{DSN5}(\text{sat}) + V_{GSN1}$$

Turn-on voltage for the p-channel input:

$$V_{onp} = V_{DD} - V_{SDP5}(\text{sat}) - V_{SGP1}$$

The sum of  $V_{onn}$  and  $V_{onp}$  equals the minimum power supply.

Regions of operation:

$$V_{DD} > V_{icm} > V_{onp}: \text{ (n-channel on and p-channel off)}$$

$$g_m(\text{eq}) = g_{mN}$$

$$V_{onp} \geq V_{icm} \geq V_{onn}: \text{ (n-channel on and p-channel on)}$$

$$g_m(\text{eq}) = g_{mN} + g_{mP}$$

$$V_{onn} > V_{icm} > 0: \text{ (n-channel off and p-channel on)}$$

$$g_m(\text{eq}) = g_{mP}$$

where  $g_m(\text{eq})$  is the equivalent input transconductance of the above input stage,  $g_{mN}$  is the input transconductance for the n-channel input and  $g_{mP}$  is the input transconductance for the p-channel input.




Fig. 7.6-5

### Removing the Nonlinearity in Transconductances as a Function of ICMR

Increase the bias current in the differential amplifier that is on when the other differential amplifier is off.

Three regions of operation depending on the value of  $V_{icm}$ :

- 1.)  $V_{icm} < V_{onn}$ : n-channel diff. amp. off and p-channel on with  $I_p = 4I_b$ :

$$g_m(\text{eff}) = \sqrt{\frac{K_P' W_P}{L_P}} 2\sqrt{I_b}$$

- 2.)  $V_{onn} < V_{icm} < V_{onp}$ : both on with  $I_n = I_p = I_b$ :

$$g_m(\text{eff}) = \sqrt{\frac{K_N' W_N}{L_N}} \sqrt{I_b} + \sqrt{\frac{K_P' W_P}{L_P}} \sqrt{I_b}$$

- 3.)  $V_{icm} > V_{onp}$ : p-channel diff. amp. off and n-channel on with  $I_n = 4I_b$ :

$$g_m(\text{eff}) = \sqrt{\frac{K_N' W_N}{L_N}} 2\sqrt{I_b}$$




Fig. 7.6-6

### How Does the Current Compensation Work?

Set  $V_{B1} = V_{onn}$  and  $V_{B2} = V_{onp}$ .




Fig. 7.6-6A

Result:




Fig. 7.6-7

The above techniques and many similar ones are good for power supply values down to about 1.5V. Below that, different techniques must be used or the technology must be modified (natural devices).

### Bulk-Driven MOSFET

A depletion device would permit large  $ICMR$  even with very small power supply voltages because  $V_{GS}$  is zero or negative.

When a MOSFET is driven from the bulk with the gate held constant, it acts like a depletion transistor.

Cross-section of an n-channel bulk-driven MOSFET:




Fig. 7.6-8

Large signal equation:

$$i_D = \frac{K_N' W}{2L} \left[ V_{GS} - V_{T0} - \gamma \sqrt{2|\phi_F| - v_{BS}} + \gamma \sqrt{2|\phi_F|} \right]^2$$

Small-signal transconductance:

$$g_{mbs} = \frac{\gamma \sqrt{(2K_N' W/L) I_D}}{2\sqrt{2|\phi_F| - V_{BS}}}$$

## Bulk-Driven MOSFET - Continued

Transconductance characteristics:


Saturation:  $V_{DS} > V_{BS} - V_P$  gives,

$$V_{BS} = V_P + V_{ON}$$

$$i_D = I_{DSS} \left( 1 - \frac{V_{BS}}{V_P} \right)^2$$

Comments:

- $g_m(\text{bulk}) > g_m(\text{gate})$  if  $V_{BS} > 0$  (forward biased)
- Noise of both configurations are the same (any differences comes from the gate versus bulk noise)
- Bulk-driven MOSFET tends to be more linear at lower currents than the gate-driven MOSFET
- Very useful for generation of  $I_{DSS}$  floating current sources.



## Bulk-Driven, n-channel Differential Amplifier

What is the  $ICMR$ ?

$$V_{icm}(\text{min}) = V_{SS} + V_{DS5}(\text{sat}) + V_{BS1} = V_{SS} + V_{DS5}(\text{sat}) - |V_{P1}| + V_{DS1}(\text{sat})$$

Note that  $V_{icm}$  can be less than  $V_{SS}$  if  $|V_{P1}| > V_{DS5}(\text{sat}) + V_{DS1}(\text{sat})$

$$V_{icm}(\text{max}) = ?$$

As  $V_{icm}$  increases, the current through M1 and M2 is constant so the source increases. However, the gate voltage stays constant so that  $V_{GS1}$  decreases. Since the current must remain constant through M1 and M2 because of M5, the bulk-source voltage becomes less negative causing  $V_{TN1}$  to decrease and maintain the currents through M1 and M2 constant. If  $V_{icm}$  is increased sufficiently, the bulk-source voltage will become positive. However, current does not start to flow until  $V_{BS}$  is greater than 0.3 volts so the effective  $V_{icm}(\text{max})$  is

$$V_{icm}(\text{max}) \approx V_{DD} - V_{SD3}(\text{sat}) - V_{DS1}(\text{sat}) + V_{BS1}.$$





Fig. 7.6-10

## Illustration of the *ICMR* of the Bulk-Driven, Differential Amplifier





### Comments:

- Effective *ICMR* is from  $V_{SS}$  to  $V_{DD} - 0.3V$
- The transconductance of the input stage can vary as much as 100% over the *ICMR* which makes it very difficult to compensate

## Low-Voltage Current Mirrors using the Bulk-Driven MOSFET

The biggest problem with current mirrors is the large minimum input voltage required for previously examined current mirrors.

If the bulk-driven MOSFET is biased with a current that exceeds  $I_{DSS}$  then it is enhancement and can be used as a current mirror.



The cascode current mirror gives a minimum input voltage of less than 0.5V for currents less than 100 $\mu$ A

### Simple Current Mirror with Level Shifting

Since the drain can be  $V_T$  less than the gate, the drain could be biased to reduce the minimum input voltage as illustrated.




Fig. 7.6-13

### A Low-Voltage Current Mirror with Wide Input and Output Swings

The current mirror below requires a power supply of  $V_T+3V_{ON}$  and has a  $V_{in}(\min) = V_{ON}$  and a  $V_{out}(\min) = 2V_{ON}$  (less for the regulated cascode output mirror).






Fig. 7.6-13A


## Bandgap Topologies Compatible with Low Voltage Power Supply



Voltage-mode bandgap topology.



Current-mode bandgap topology.



Voltage-current mode bandgap topology.

Fig. 7.6-14

## Method of Generating Currents with $V_{BE}$ and PTAT Temperature Coefficients




Figure 7.6-15A

$$V_{out1} = I_{PTAT} R_2 = \left( \frac{V_{PTAT}}{R_1} \right) R_2 = V_{PTAT} \frac{R_2}{R_1}$$

$$V_{out2} = I_{VBE} R_4 = \left( \frac{V_{BE}}{R_3} \right) R_4 = V_{BE} \frac{R_4}{R_3}$$

### Technique for Canceling the Bandgap Curvature





Illustration of the various currents. Fig. 7.6-16

$$I_{NL} = \begin{cases} 0, & K_2 I_{VBE} > K_1 I_{PTAT} \\ K_1 I_{PTAT} - K_2 I_{VBE}, & K_2 I_{VBE} < K_1 I_{PTAT} \end{cases}$$

The combination of the above concept with the previous slide yielded a curvature-corrected bandgap reference of 0.596V with a TC of 20ppm/C° from -15C° to 90C° using a 1.1V power supply.<sup>†</sup> In addition, the line regulation was 408 ppm/V for 1.2 ≤ V<sub>DD</sub> ≤ 10V and 2000 ppm/V for 1.1 ≤ V<sub>DD</sub> ≤ 10V. The quiescent current was 14μA.

<sup>†</sup> G.A. Rincon-Mora and P.E. Allen, "A 1.1-V Current-Mode and Piecewise-Linear Curvature-Corrected Bandgap Reference," *J. of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 33, no. 10, October 1998, pp. 1551-1554.

### Low-Voltage Op Amp using Classical Techniques (V<sub>DD</sub> ≥ 2V<sub>T</sub>)




Fig. 7.6-17

Clever use of classical techniques.  
Balanced inputs.



**Example 7.6-1 - Design of a Low-Voltage Op Amp using the Previous Topology**

Use the parameters of Table 3.1-2 to design the op amp above to meet the specifications given below.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{DD} &= 2V & V_{icm}(\max) &= 2.5V & V_{icm}(\min) &= 1V \\ V_{out}(\max) &= 1.75V & V_{out}(\min) &= 0.5V & GB &= 10\text{MHz} \\ \text{Slew rate} &= \pm 10\text{V}/\mu\text{s} & \text{Phase margin} &= 60^\circ & \text{for } C_L &= 10\text{pF} \end{aligned}$$

**Solution**

Assuming the conditions for a two-stage op amp necessary to achieve  $60^\circ$  phase margin and that the RHP zero is at least  $10GB$  gives

$$C_c = 0.2C_L = 2\text{pF}$$

The slew rate is directly related to the current in M5 and gives

$$I_5 = C_c \cdot SR = 2 \times 10^{-12} \cdot 10^7 = 20\mu\text{A}$$

We also know the input transconductances from  $GB$  and  $C_c$ . They are given as

$$g_{m1} = g_{m2} = GB \cdot C_c = 20\pi \times 10^6 \cdot 2 \times 10^{-12} = 125.67\mu\text{S}$$

Knowing the current flow in M1 and M2 gives the W/L ratios as

$$\frac{W_1}{L_1} = \frac{W_2}{L_2} = \frac{g_{m1}^2}{2K_N'(I_1/2)} = \frac{(125.67 \times 10^{-6})^2}{2 \cdot 110 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 10 \times 10^{-6}} = 7.18$$

**Example 7.6-1 - Continued**

Next, we find the W/L of M5 that will satisfy  $V_{icm}(\min)$  specification.

$$V_{icm}(\min) = V_{DS5}(\text{sat}) + V_{GS1}(10\mu\text{A}) = 1\text{V}$$

This gives

$$V_{DS5}(\text{sat}) = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot 10}{110 \cdot 7.18}} - 0.75 = 1 - 0.159 - 0.75 = 0.0909\text{V}$$

$$\therefore V_{DS5}(\text{sat}) = 0.0909 = \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot I_5}{K_N'(W_5/L_5)}} \rightarrow \frac{W_5}{L_5} = \frac{2 \cdot 20}{110 \cdot (0.0909)^2} = 44$$

The design of M3 and M4 is accomplished from the upper input common mode voltage:

$$V_{icm}(\max) = V_{DD} - V_{SD3}(\text{sat}) + V_{TN} = 2 - V_{SD3}(\text{sat}) + 0.75 = 2.5\text{V}$$

Solving for  $V_{SD3}(\text{sat})$  gives  $0.25\text{V}$ . Assume that the currents in M6 and M7 are  $20\mu\text{A}$ .

This gives a current of  $30\mu\text{A}$  in M3 and M4. Knowing the current in M3 (M4) gives

$$V_{SD3}(\text{sat}) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot 30}{50 \cdot (W_3/L_3)}} \rightarrow \frac{W_3}{L_3} = \frac{W_4}{L_4} \geq \frac{2 \cdot 30}{(0.25) \cdot 2.50} = 19.2$$

Next, using the  $V_{SD}(\text{sat}) = V_{ON}$  of M3 and M4, design M10 through M12. Let us assume that  $I_{10} = I_5 = 20\mu\text{A}$  which gives  $W_{10}/L_{10} = 44$ .  $R_1$  is designed as  $R_1 = 0.25\text{V}/20\mu\text{A} = 12.5\text{k}\Omega$ . The W/L ratios of M11 and M12 can be expressed as

$$\frac{W_{11}}{L_{11}} = \frac{W_{12}}{L_{12}} = \frac{2 \cdot I_{11}}{K_P' \cdot V_{SD11}(\text{sat})^2} = \frac{2 \cdot 20}{50 \cdot (0.25)^2} = 12.8$$

**Example 7.6-1 - Continued**

Since the source-gate voltages and currents of M6 and M7 are the same as M11 and M12 then the W/L values are equal. Thus

$$W_6/L_6 = W_7/L_7 = 12.8$$

M8 and M9 should be as small as possible to reduce the parasitic (mirror) pole. However, the voltage drop across M4, M6 and M8 must be less than the power supply. Using this to design the gate-source voltage of M8 gives

$$V_{GS8} = V_{DD} - 2V_{ON} = 2V - 2 \cdot 0.25 = 1.5V$$

Thus,

$$\frac{W_8}{L_8} = \frac{W_9}{L_9} = \frac{2 \cdot I_8}{K_N' \cdot V_{DS8}(\text{sat})^2} = \frac{2 \cdot 30}{110 \cdot (0.75)^2} = 0.97 \approx 1$$

Because M8 and M9 are small, the mirror pole will be insignificant. The next poles of interest would be those at the sources of M6 and M7. Assuming the channel length is  $1\mu\text{m}$ , these poles are given as

$$p_6 \approx \frac{g_{m6}}{C_{GS6}} = \frac{\sqrt{2K_{P'} \cdot (W_6/L_6) \cdot I_6}}{(2/3) \cdot W_6 \cdot L_6 \cdot C_{ox}} = \frac{\sqrt{2 \cdot 50 \cdot 12.8 \cdot 20} \times 10^{-6}}{(2/3) \cdot 12.8 \cdot 1 \cdot 2.47 \times 10^{-15}} = 7.59 \times 10^9 \text{ rads/sec}$$

which is about 100 times greater than  $GB$ .

Finally, the W/L ratios of the second stage must be designed. We can either use the relationship for  $60^\circ$  phase margin of  $g_{m14} = 10g_{m1} = 1256.7\mu\text{S}$  or consider proper mirroring between M9 and M14.

**Example 7.6-1 - Continued**

Substituting  $1256.7\mu\text{S}$  for  $g_{m14}$  and  $0.5V$  for  $V_{DS14}$  in  $W/L = g_m/(K_N' V_{DS}(\text{sat}))$  gives  $W_{14}/L_{14} = 22.85$  which gives  $I_{14} = 314\mu\text{A}$ . The W/L of M13 is designed by the necessary current ratio desired between the two transistors and is

$$\frac{W_{13}}{L_{13}} = \frac{I_{13}}{I_{12}} I_{12} = \frac{314}{20} \cdot 12.8 = 201$$

Now, check to make sure that the  $V_{out}(\text{max})$  is satisfied. The saturation voltage of M13 is

$$V_{SD13}(\text{sat}) = \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot I_{13}}{K_{P'} (W_{13}/L_{13})}} = \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot 314}{50 \cdot 201}} = 0.25V$$

which exactly meets the specification. For proper mirroring, the W/L ratio of M14 is,

$$\frac{W_9}{L_9} = \frac{I_9}{I_{14}} \frac{W_{14}}{L_{14}} = 1.46$$

Since  $W_9/L_9$  was selected as 1, this is close enough.

The parameters are  $g_{ds7} = 1\mu\text{S}$ ,  $g_{ds8} = 0.8\mu\text{S}$ ,  $g_{ds13} = 15.7\mu\text{S}$  and  $g_{ds14} = 12.56\mu\text{S}$ . Therefore small signal voltage gain is ( $R_I \approx r_{ds9}$  because M7 is part of a cascode conf.)

$$\frac{v_{out}}{v_{in}} \approx \left( \frac{g_{m1}}{g_{ds9}} \right) \left( \frac{g_{m14}}{g_{ds13} + g_{ds14}} \right) = \left( \frac{125.6}{1.8} \right) \left( \frac{1256.7}{28.26} \right) = 69.78 \cdot 44.47 = 3,103V/V$$

The power dissipation, including  $I_{bias}$  of  $20\mu\text{A}$ , is  $708\mu\text{W}$ .

The minimum power supply voltage is  $V_T + 3\Delta V \approx 1.5V$  if  $V_T = 0.7V$  and  $\Delta V \approx 0.25V$ .

## A 1-Volt, Two-Stage Op Amp

Uses a bulk-driven differential input amplifier.




Fig. 7.6-18


## Performance of the 1-Volt, Two-Stage Op Amp

Specification ( $V_{DD}=0.5V$ , $V_{SS}=-0.5V$ )	Measured Performance ( $C_L = 22pF$ )
DC open-loop gain	49dB ( $V_{icm}$ mid range)
Power supply current	300 $\mu$ A
Unity-gainbandwidth ( $GB$ )	1.3MHz ( $V_{icm}$ mid range)
Phase margin	57° ( $V_{icm}$ mid range)
Input offset voltage	$\pm 3mV$
Input common mode voltage range	-0.475V to 0.450V
Output swing	-0.475V to 0.491V
Positive slew rate	+0.7V/ $\mu$ sec
Negative slew rate	-1.6V/ $\mu$ sec
THD, closed loop gain of -1V/V	-60dB (0.75Vp-p, 1kHz sinewave) -59dB (0.75Vp-p, 10kHz sinewave)
THD, closed loop gain of +1V/V	-59dB (0.75Vp-p, 1kHz sinewave) -57dB (0.75Vp-p, 10kHz sinewave)
Spectral noise voltage density	367nV/ $\sqrt{Hz}$ @ 1kHz 181nV/ $\sqrt{Hz}$ @ 10kHz, 81nV/ $\sqrt{Hz}$ @ 100kHz 444nV/ $\sqrt{Hz}$ @ 1MHz
Positive Power Supply Rejection	61dB at 10kHz, 55dB at 100kHz, 22dB at 1MHz
Negative Power Supply Rejection	45dB at 10kHz, 27dB at 100kHz, 5dB at 1MHz


### Further Considerations of the using the Bulk - Current Driven Bulk<sup>†</sup>

The bulk can be used to reduce the threshold sufficiently to permit low voltage applications. The key is to keep the substrate current confined.


One possible technique is:



Reduced Threshold MOSFET



Parasitic BJT



Layout

Fig. 7.6-19

Problem:

Want to limit the BJT current to some value called,  $I_{max}$ .

Therefore,

$$I_{BB} = \frac{I_{max}}{\beta_{CS} + \beta_{CD} + 1}$$

<sup>†</sup> T. Lehmann and M. Cassia, "1V Power Supply CMOS Cascode Amplifier," *IEEE J. of Solid-State Circuits*, Vol. 36, No. 7, 2001  
 CMOS Analog Circuit Design

### Current-Driven Bulk Technique - Continued

Bias circuit for keeping the  $I_{max}$  defined independent of BJT betas.

Note:

$$I_{D,C} = I_{CD} + I_D$$

$$I_{S,E} = I_D + I_E + I_R$$

The circuit feedback causes a bulk bias current  $I_{BB}$  and hence a bias voltage  $V_{BIAS}$  such that

$$I_{S,E} = I_D + I_{BB}(1 + \beta_{CS} + \beta_{CD}) + I_R \text{ regardless of the actual values of the } \beta\text{'s.}$$

Use  $V_{Bias1}$  and  $V_{Bias2}$  to set  $I_{D,C} \approx 1.1I_D$ ,  $I_{S,E} \approx 1.3I_D$  and  $I_R \approx 0.1I_D$  which sets  $I_{max}$  at  $0.1I_D$ .

For the circuit to work,

$$V_{BE} < V_{TN} + I_R R \text{ and } |V_{TP}| + V_{DS(sat)} < V_{TN} + I_R R$$

If  $|V_{TP}| > V_{TN}$ , then the level shifter  $I_R R$  can be eliminated.




Fig. 7.6-20

## A 1-Volt, Folded-Cascode OTA using the Current-Driven Bulk Technique




Fig. 7.6-21

Transistors with forward-biased bulks are in a shaded box.

For large common mode input changes,  $C_x$  is necessary to avoid slewing in the input stage.

To get more voltage headroom at the output, the transistors of the cascode mirror have their bulks current driven.

## A 1-Volt, Folded-Cascode OTA using the Current-Driven Bulk Technique - Continued

Experimental results:

0.5 $\mu$ m CMOS, 40 $\mu$ A total bias current ( $C_x = 10$ pF)

Supply Voltage	1.0V	0.8V	0.7V
Common-mode input range	0.0V-0.65V	0.0V-0.4V	0.0V-0.3V
High gain output range	0.35V-0.75V	0.25V-0.5V	0.2V-0.4V
Output saturation limits	0.1V-0.9V	0.15V-0.65V	0.1V-0.6V
DC gain	62dB-69dB	46dB-53dB	33dB-36dB
Gain-Bandwidth	2.0MHz	0.8MHz	1.3MHz
Slew-Rate ( $C_L=20$ pF)	0.5V/ $\mu$ s	0.4V/ $\mu$ s	0.1V/ $\mu$ s
Phase margin ( $C_L=20$ pF)	57°	54°	48°

The nominal value of bulk current is 10nA gives a 10% increase in differential pair quiescent current assuming a BJT  $\beta$  of 100.

## SUMMARY

- Integrated circuit power supplies are rapidly decreasing (today 2-3Volts)
- Classical analog circuit design techniques begin to deteriorate at 1.5-2 Volts
- Approaches for lower voltage circuits:
  - Use natural NMOS transistors ( $V_T \approx 0.1V$ )
  - Drive the bulk terminal
  - Forward bias the bulk
  - Use depletion devices
- The dynamic range will be compressed if the noise is not also reduced
- Fortunately, the threshold reduction continues to allow the techniques of this section to be used in today's technology

## CHAPTER 7 - SUMMARY

This chapter has considered improved op amp performance in the areas of:

- Op amps that can drive low output load resistances and large output capacitances
- Op amps with improved bandwidth
- Op amps with differential output
- Op amps having low power dissipation
- Op amps having low noise
- Op amps that can work at low voltages

The objective of this chapter has been to show how to improve the performance of an op amp.

- We found that improvements are always possible
- The key is to balance the tradeoffs against the particular performance improvement
- This chapter is an excellent example of the degrees of freedom and choices that different circuit architectures can offer.

We also illustrated further the approaches to designing op amps

The next chapter begins the transition from analog to digital with the introduction of the comparator.